



SPECIAL DISPLAY OF IMPORTED Novelties

In Ladies' Wearing Apparel and "Exclusive" Fabrics for

This Week

Very smart styles in short, three-quarter and long coats, rain and dust-proof cloaks, suits, costumes, skirts.

Silk Shirt Waists

Silk Parasols

Laces, Ties, Barbies, Fichus

Millinery Department

Contains select designs from British, French and American models, in crepe, traveling and walking hats.

Lace Gowns

White and Cream Lawn Gowns

Special Items

For This Week

Black peau de sole silk, of sound, rich make, at \$1 and \$1.25.

Scotch Heather Tweeds, two very special values, 75c and \$1.

Shantung raw silks, in white, natural and new shades.

French printed silk foulards, in new designs and colors.

Handsome silk waist lengths in single patterns.

Exquisite designs and shadings, in new wash fabrics.

Sale of oddments in Black Goods Department, ends of 12 to 5 yards; all kinds of fabrics, clearing at 50c per yard.

JOHN CATTO & SON

King Street—opposite the Post-Office.

AFTER NEWSPAPERS NOW

Continued From Page 1.

and for which the World is to be asked to show cause, as follows:

"Charles Ritchie, K. C., with the aid of the paying editor of the Ontario Bank and the books of that institution, tried for two hours yesterday afternoon to trace the \$3000 which R. R. Gamble obtained from J. R. Stratton. For some time the luck did not seem to run with Mr. Ritchie. Page after page of his ledgers were turned over, slips were examined and entries scrutinized with searching thoroughness. Their ledgers turned back in the filled-out columns. The well-filled-out columns displayed a languid interest in the proceedings. It seemed as if nothing but an orchestra could give wing to the occasion.

Getting next to the item.

Then, all at once the exertions of Charles Ritchie appeared to have fruit. Assisted by W. D. McPherson, and closely watched by W. R. Riddell, K. C., who bent eagerly over the searching expedition, Mr. Ritchie found what he wanted, an entry of \$3000, money paid out on the 9th of September, the day before that amount, according to R. R. Gamble, was divided between Frank Sullivan and himself.

Was this the cash?

To the prosecution a significant feature of this entry is that the \$3000 was taken out by the Trust and Guarantee Company, of which institution Hon. J. H. Stratton is President.

An effort was also made by Mr. Ritchie to see if the \$3000 by the bank had been paid out on or about the date of the alleged transfer of the bribe money. This effort was not successful. A day or two before the all-important date, eighteen \$100 bills and thirteen \$50 bills were paid out, making \$2450 in all.

Every circumstance attending the payment of the \$3000 by the bank to the Trust Co. will be enquired into. The Trust Co. will be required to supply information regarding in what form the sum was received, to whose account it was credited, or to what persons it was disbursed.

It had been known all along that the books of the Ontario Bank would be an important feature of the investigation, since the alleged bribe money was in \$50 and \$100 bills, the Ontario Bank. Now the books are under scrutiny, and every page within reasonable proximity to September 10, 1902, will be closely examined. What the clue or supposed clue dug up yesterday will amount to cannot be estimated.

Keeping Their Own Counsel.

Counsel for the prosecution are hopeful, but beyond cheerful countenances, they are not letting the public into their confidence.

Until yesterday, Walter Barwick, K. C., was the chief representative of the Ontario Bank to grace the courtroom. It was Mr. Barwick who had to progress the only Mot of the defence with the glad news that R. R. Gamble had been tinkering with the deposit slip, and thus led up to the sensational fitting of J. R. Stratton's accuser to Buffalo, N. Y. Yesterday, G. R. R. Cockburn, president of the bank, appeared in the courtroom, and through the examination of the bank's books was an interested spectator.

Heard the dialog between Charles H. Ritchie and Paynter Teller Bartlett that brought out the sensational testimony of the day.

CHARGE AGAINST THE MAIL.

Affidavit Which Embodies Accusation of Contempt.

E. F. B. Johnston brought the article published in The Mail and Empire before the commission by reading the following affidavit:

"In the matter of a Royal Commission, dated the 28th day of March, 1903, directed to the Hon. Sir John Alexander Ross, Knight, and the Hon. William Glenholme Falconbridge, to inquire into and report upon certain charges of bribery or attempts to bribe."

"I, John Miller MacDowd, of the City of London, make oath and say:

"I am acting as solicitor for the parties charged herein, and also acting as junior counsel in the same interest.

"On the 25th day of April, 1903, and whilst the proceedings before the said Royal Commission were in progress, I directed the Hon. Sir John Alexander Ross, Knight, and the Hon. William Glenholme Falconbridge, to inquire into and report upon certain charges of bribery or attempts to bribe."

"I, John Miller MacDowd, of the City of London, make oath and say:

"I am acting as solicitor for the parties charged herein, and also acting as junior counsel in the same interest.

"On the 25th day of April, 1903, and whilst the proceedings before the said Royal Commission were in progress, I directed the Hon. Sir John Alexander Ross, Knight, and the Hon. William Glenholme Falconbridge, to inquire into and report upon certain charges of bribery or attempts to bribe."

"I, John Miller MacDowd, of the City of London, make oath and say:

"I am acting as solicitor for the parties charged herein, and also acting as junior counsel in the same interest.

"On the 25th day of April, 1903, and whilst the proceedings before the said Royal Commission were in progress, I directed the Hon. Sir John Alexander Ross, Knight, and the Hon. William Glenholme Falconbridge, to inquire into and report upon certain charges of bribery or attempts to bribe."

"I, John Miller MacDowd, of the City of London, make oath and say:

"I am acting as solicitor for the parties charged herein, and also acting as junior counsel in the same interest.

"On the 25th day of April, 1903, and whilst the proceedings before the said Royal Commission were in progress, I directed the Hon. Sir John Alexander Ross, Knight, and the Hon. William Glenholme Falconbridge, to inquire into and report upon certain charges of bribery or attempts to bribe."

"I, John Miller MacDowd, of the City of London, make oath and say:

"I am acting as solicitor for the parties charged herein, and also acting as junior counsel in the same interest.

"On the 25th day of April, 1903, and whilst the proceedings before the said Royal Commission were in progress, I directed the Hon. Sir John Alexander Ross, Knight, and the Hon. William Glenholme Falconbridge, to inquire into and report upon certain charges of bribery or attempts to bribe."

"I, John Miller MacDowd, of the City of London, make oath and say:

"I am acting as solicitor for the parties charged herein, and also acting as junior counsel in the same interest.

"On the 25th day of April, 1903, and whilst the proceedings before the said Royal Commission were in progress, I directed the Hon. Sir John Alexander Ross, Knight, and the Hon. William Glenholme Falconbridge, to inquire into and report upon certain charges of bribery or attempts to bribe."

"I, John Miller MacDowd, of the City of London, make oath and say:

"I am acting as solicitor for the parties charged herein, and also acting as junior counsel in the same interest.

THE DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Bank Officials Testify as to \$100 Bills.

Charles McGill, general manager of the Ontario Bank, was the first witness called by the prosecution on Saturday morning. Cross-examined by Mr. Ritchie, he said that he did not remember initiating any cheque for a thousand dollars or more in September last. It was the custom of W. H. Smith, the local manager, to initial cheques. Mr. McGill pledged his oath that he knew nothing of it at all. In answer to Mr. Ritchie, the witness said that a most careful search had been made, but he had no knowledge whatever of such a payment. The bank had an agency in Sudbury, with a large circulation in that district. Re-examined by Mr. Ritchie as to whether, as the result of enquiry, some witness could find that any large sums—one hundred-dollar bills and fifty-dollar bills—had been paid out in September, Mr. McGill replied that the teller had not the vouchers, and that he couldn't get the information.

Bank Officials' Denials.

John McGill, manager of Queen-street branch of the Ontario Bank, produced two tellers' Motors of his bank, in which a record was made of all cheques issued on other banks and received from them. He knew nothing about any payment of fifties or hundreds out of his bank in September last. Thomas E. R. Yates, discount clerk, assistant counsel in looking through the various entries in the books under his control in September last.

George R. Bartlett was the recalled, and said, in answer to Mr. Ritchie, that he had no knowledge or reason to believe that he paid out in the early part of September any sum of \$3000, or approximately, in one hundred-dollar bills and fifty-dollar bills.

REPORTER ON THE STAND.

Asked to Explain Interview With Frank Sullivan.

H. M. Passmore, reporter for The Evening Telegram, was the next witness.

You are a reporter of The Telegram, and you have been taking a somewhat active interest in the prosecution of this case?—No, sir.

Have you been writing the articles for The Telegram?—I have been writing some of them.

Some of the summaries that have appeared there?—No, none of them. Just the evidence. The Daily Mail, which was told in a single article, some of the articles, but none of the evidence.

All your articles have been adverse to the defence?—I think not. Name one, and name your paper in which there has been a single article that was favorable to the defence?—Well, Name one, please, and I will get the paper. The article that I have dictated recollection of now was the article of the 21st. I suppose that may be taken as a fair sample.

Yes, the article of the 21st. What article was that, will you please?—Let the Facts Speak; is that your article?

Is this your article, "Frank Sullivan's Payment"?—Yes, sir.

The Chancellor: Is that relevant to this case?—Yes, My Lord.

Witness: That was part. What was the rest of your article?—Well, I wrote that and that.

Witness marks several items.

Now you profess, then, to give a fair report of what Frank Sullivan said on that occasion. Did you?—As far as I could. That was the purpose of his statement.

Then, why didn't you put in his remark about Mr. Gamble?—Well, he said that he was the crookedest man alive. I would not put everything in the paper that Frank Sullivan would tell me.

Of course, you put in what suited yourself. Did you or did you not?

Of course, you put in what suited yourself. I put in what I thought was right.

Did you or did you not put in what suited yourself? I put in all that I thought had any news value.

It would not be news, then, to say that this man was the crookedest man on earth?—I do not think it would be much news if Frank Sullivan says so.

Now let us see what your story when you knew that the whole case hinged on the payment of \$1500 to Frank Sullivan?

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

Mr. Johnston: You are here to answer my questions. Was that more important than read about that Frank Sullivan's admission that he had got \$1500 of the bribery money?

As a matter of news, I think it was just as much.

"Mrs. Gamble seldom said anything about relatives, but sometimes referred to an aunt in West Bay, Michigan. Was that of more importance than the fact that he received the \$1500?"

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

Mr. Johnston: You are here to answer my questions. Was that more important than read about that Frank Sullivan's admission that he had got \$1500 of the bribery money?

As a matter of news, I think it was just as much.

"Mrs. Gamble seldom said anything about relatives, but sometimes referred to an aunt in West Bay, Michigan. Was that of more importance than the fact that he received the \$1500?"

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

Mr. Johnston: You are here to answer my questions. Was that more important than read about that Frank Sullivan's admission that he had got \$1500 of the bribery money?

As a matter of news, I think it was just as much.

"Mrs. Gamble seldom said anything about relatives, but sometimes referred to an aunt in West Bay, Michigan. Was that of more importance than the fact that he received the \$1500?"

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

Mr. Johnston: You are here to answer my questions. Was that more important than read about that Frank Sullivan's admission that he had got \$1500 of the bribery money?

As a matter of news, I think it was just as much.

"Mrs. Gamble seldom said anything about relatives, but sometimes referred to an aunt in West Bay, Michigan. Was that of more importance than the fact that he received the \$1500?"

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

Mr. Johnston: You are here to answer my questions. Was that more important than read about that Frank Sullivan's admission that he had got \$1500 of the bribery money?

As a matter of news, I think it was just as much.

"Mrs. Gamble seldom said anything about relatives, but sometimes referred to an aunt in West Bay, Michigan. Was that of more importance than the fact that he received the \$1500?"

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

THE DAY'S PROCEEDINGS

Bank Officials Testify as to \$100 Bills.

Charles McGill, general manager of the Ontario Bank, was the first witness called by the prosecution on Saturday morning. Cross-examined by Mr. Ritchie, he said that he did not remember initiating any cheque for a thousand dollars or more in September last. It was the custom of W. H. Smith, the local manager, to initial cheques. Mr. McGill pledged his oath that he knew nothing of it at all. In answer to Mr. Ritchie, the witness said that a most careful search had been made, but he had no knowledge whatever of such a payment. The bank had an agency in Sudbury, with a large circulation in that district. Re-examined by Mr. Ritchie as to whether, as the result of enquiry, some witness could find that any large sums—one hundred-dollar bills and fifty-dollar bills—had been paid out in September, Mr. McGill replied that the teller had not the vouchers, and that he couldn't get the information.

Bank Officials' Denials.

John McGill, manager of Queen-street branch of the Ontario Bank, produced two tellers' Motors of his bank, in which a record was made of all cheques issued on other banks and received from them. He knew nothing about any payment of fifties or hundreds out of his bank in September last. Thomas E. R. Yates, discount clerk, assistant counsel in looking through the various entries in the books under his control in September last.

George R. Bartlett was the recalled, and said, in answer to Mr. Ritchie, that he had no knowledge or reason to believe that he paid out in the early part of September any sum of \$3000, or approximately, in one hundred-dollar bills and fifty-dollar bills.

REPORTER ON THE STAND.

Asked to Explain Interview With Frank Sullivan.

H. M. Passmore, reporter for The Evening Telegram, was the next witness.

You are a reporter of The Telegram, and you have been taking a somewhat active interest in the prosecution of this case?—No, sir.

Have you been writing the articles for The Telegram?—I have been writing some of them.

Some of the summaries that have appeared there?—No, none of them. Just the evidence. The Daily Mail, which was told in a single article, some of the articles, but none of the evidence.

All your articles have been adverse to the defence?—I think not. Name one, and name your paper in which there has been a single article that was favorable to the defence?—Well, Name one, please, and I will get the paper. The article that I have dictated recollection of now was the article of the 21st. I suppose that may be taken as a fair sample.

Yes, the article of the 21st. What article was that, will you please?—Let the Facts Speak; is that your article?

Is this your article, "Frank Sullivan's Payment"?—Yes, sir.

The Chancellor: Is that relevant to this case?—Yes, My Lord.

Witness: That was part. What was the rest of your article?—Well, I wrote that and that.

Witness marks several items.

Now you profess, then, to give a fair report of what Frank Sullivan said on that occasion. Did you?—As far as I could. That was the purpose of his statement.

Then, why didn't you put in his remark about Mr. Gamble?—Well, he said that he was the crookedest man alive. I would not put everything in the paper that Frank Sullivan would tell me.

Of course, you put in what suited yourself. Did you or did you not?

Of course, you put in what suited yourself. I put in what I thought was right.

Did you or did you not put in what suited yourself? I put in all that I thought had any news value.

It would not be news, then, to say that this man was the crookedest man on earth?—I do not think it would be much news if Frank Sullivan says so.

Now let us see what your story when you knew that the whole case hinged on the payment of \$1500 to Frank Sullivan?

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

Mr. Johnston: You are here to answer my questions. Was that more important than read about that Frank Sullivan's admission that he had got \$1500 of the bribery money?

As a matter of news, I think it was just as much.

"Mrs. Gamble seldom said anything about relatives, but sometimes referred to an aunt in West Bay, Michigan. Was that of more importance than the fact that he received the \$1500?"

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

Mr. Johnston: You are here to answer my questions. Was that more important than read about that Frank Sullivan's admission that he had got \$1500 of the bribery money?

As a matter of news, I think it was just as much.

"Mrs. Gamble seldom said anything about relatives, but sometimes referred to an aunt in West Bay, Michigan. Was that of more importance than the fact that he received the \$1500?"

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

Mr. Johnston: You are here to answer my questions. Was that more important than read about that Frank Sullivan's admission that he had got \$1500 of the bribery money?

As a matter of news, I think it was just as much.

"Mrs. Gamble seldom said anything about relatives, but sometimes referred to an aunt in West Bay, Michigan. Was that of more importance than the fact that he received the \$1500?"

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

Mr. Johnston: You are here to answer my questions. Was that more important than read about that Frank Sullivan's admission that he had got \$1500 of the bribery money?

As a matter of news, I think it was just as much.

"Mrs. Gamble seldom said anything about relatives, but sometimes referred to an aunt in West Bay, Michigan. Was that of more importance than the fact that he received the \$1500?"

As a reporter, will you swear to that? I simply knew that there had been an allegation made that he received this money. What his defence was going to be I had no means of knowing.

Will you swear that you did not know that this case was largely turned on the \$1500?

After questioning witnesses concerning the details of his interview with Sullivan, Mr. Johnston took up the interview, reading passages from it.

Witness: Read the whole interview.

Mr. Johnston: You are here to answer my questions. Was that more important than read about that Frank Sullivan's admission that he had got \$1500 of the bribery money?

As a matter of news, I think it was just as much.

"Mrs. Gamble seldom said anything about relatives, but sometimes referred to an aunt in West Bay, Michigan. Was that of more importance than the fact that he received the \$1500?"

As a reporter, will you swear to that?