perhaps equivalent advantages; not the least being the fact that this conception of the student's wants has enabled me to follow more closely my own bent than would have been possible in a more systematic treatise. I am not without hope that in thus acting I may have accomplished at least one aim-viz., to supplement, not to supersede, the admirable text-books already existing on the diseases of children. My obligations to these already published works I cannot attempt to sum, unconscious memory plays so large a part in the thought of every one of us. But this much I can say, that it gives me no common pleasure to confess how much I owe to West, Rilliet and Barthez, Hillier, Eustace Smith, Henoch, Gerhardt, Steins, Meigs and Pepperamongst others; and last, but not least, to two of the most realistic writers of our own day, Dr. Samuel Gee and Dr. Thomas Barlow. I have also availed myself of the observations upon the incubation of the exanthemata, which have from time to time appeared in the Lancet during the last few years, from the pen of Dr. Clement Dukes, of Rugby. Dr. Dukes's work in this direction is some of the most valuable that exists.

Of others who have more immediately helped me I must thank Dr. Newnham, our present resident medical officer at the Evelina Hospital, for aid on several occasions. Mr. Collier, head of the dispensing department at Guy's Hospital, has been kind enough to revise the Appendix of Formulæ; and my brother, the Rev. C. Alfred Goodhart, of Sheffield, and Dr. Lewis Marshall, Surgeon to the Hospital for Sick Children at Nottingham, have been at much trouble in revising and criticising the proof-sheets. Of the labour thus ungrudgingly bestowed I alone can fully appreciate the value.

JAMES F. GOODHART.

January 1885.