

Yes, in the period March 1, 1983 to January 31, 1984 loans, as detailed below, were made by the CBC—the CBC has no subsidiaries—to its employees:

(a)	(i)	(ii)	(b)	(i)	(ii)	(iii)
	Date Loan Made	Date Loan Due	Amount of Loan	Interest Rate		Purpose
			\$			
	Apr. 01/83	Apr. 01/88	12,750	Nil		Relocation—Housing ¹
	June 20/83	June 16/88	12,500	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Sept. 15/83	Sept. 15/88	21,400	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Sept. 15/83	Sept. 15/88	21,000	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Oct. 18/83	Oct. 18/88	6,500	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Nov. 28/83	Nov. 28/88	8,500	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Dec. 15/83	Dec. 15/88	14,000	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Dec. 15/83	Dec. 15/88	17,500	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Dec. 20/83	Dec. 20/88	8,700	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Jan. 04/84	Jan. 04/89	9,250	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Jan. 04/84	Jan. 04/89	4,125	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Jan. 10/84	Jan. 10/89	26,000	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Jan. 23/84	Jan. 23/89	8,000	Nil		Relocation—Housing
	Aug. 02/83	Feb. 29/84	7,000	10%		Foreign Posting ²
	Aug. 16/83	Aug. 16/86	15,000	10%		Foreign Posting

¹ Where the Corporation requires an employee to relocate to an area where the cost of housing of comparable standard is significantly higher, assistance in meeting this additional cost may be provided in the form of an interest-free loan for a limited period of time. The CBC policy in this regard is in line with that of other large Canadian corporations and government departments.

² Where the Corporation assigns an employee to a foreign location, a posting loan may be made to aid in the transition from Canada to the foreign country. The Foreign Service Directives of the Government of Canada apply to all such loans.

[English]

Mr. Speaker: The questions as enumerated by the Parliamentary Secretary have been answered.

* * *

QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN

Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, if question No. 687 could be made an order for return, this return would be tabled immediately.

Mr. Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House that question No. 687 be made an order for return?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

[Text]

IMMEDIATE EMPLOYMENT STIMULATION PROGRAM

Question No. 687—**Mr. Nystrom:**

Since January 1, 1983 were any projects funded in Saskatchewan under the Immediate Employment Stimulation Program and, if so, what (a) are the names of the groups funded and the amounts in which they were funded and to what purpose(s) (b) criteria were used in assessing the validity of projects?

Return tabled.

[English]

Mr. Evans: I ask, Mr. Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

Security Intelligence Service

Mr. Speaker: Shall the remaining questions stand?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[English]

CANADIAN SECURITY INTELLIGENCE SERVICE ACT

MEASURE TO ESTABLISH

The House resumed consideration of Bill C-9, an Act to establish the Canadian Security Intelligence Service, to enact an Act respecting enforcement in relation to certain security and related offences and to amend certain Acts in consequence thereof or in relation thereto, as reported (with amendments) from the Standing Committee on Justice and Legal Affairs; and Motion No. 2 (Mr. Robinson (Burnaby)), Motion No. 5 (Mr. Allmand), and Motions Nos. 6, 7, 8 and 9 (Mr. Robinson (Burnaby)).

Mr. Speaker: The Chair indicated previously that at this point it would hear arguments relating to the matters to which the Chair referred in its decision of Thursday last. The Hon. Member for Saskatoon West (Mr. Hnatyshyn).

Hon. Ray Hnatyshyn (Saskatoon West): Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a few short observations. I apologize for what happened in the proceedings first thing this morning. However, I was not aware of the fact that the discussion would be taking place at that point in time and my notes were in my office. As you know, Mr. Speaker, I like to extemporise as much as possible but I want to be precise in order that you could get the full thrust of my legitimate intervention on this point of order.

As the House has just witnessed, closure through time allocation has now been moved. In terms of the admissibility of proposed motions for amendments at report stage, Your Honour, whom we acknowledge must look at the procedural rules but also has a certain capacity for discretion with respect to these matters, should in each instance, especially when there will be a severe limitation imposed on debate, opt for the admissibility of motions which are legitimate and which are in fact an attempt to deal with shortcomings as seen by a significant number of Members of Parliament in this legislation, indeed shortcomings which have been acknowledged generally by a very large number of Canadians.

I would like to make a few short remarks, Your Honour, with respect to your initial observations concerning the procedural acceptability of Motion No. 94 standing in the name of the Hon. Member for Vancouver South (Mr. Fraser). It is a motion with which we in this Party are particularly concerned. Mr. Speaker, you have stated that, in your view, the motion attempts to insert a provision in the Bill which exceeds the scope of the Bill as passed at second reading. The object of the