

S.O. 43

an RCMP contract with the provinces and the unlikelihood of a new contract being negotiated because of a deliberate attempt by some provinces to link the constitutional resolution to any such agreement, I move, seconded by the hon. member for London-Middlesex (Mr. Bloomfield):

That this House condemns the governments of those provinces seeking to play politics with the peace and security of their citizens.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

EXAMPLE TO YOUNG PEOPLE—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Gordon Taylor (Bow River): Madam Speaker, I rise on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity under the provisions of Standing Order 43. Whereas example means more than talk to Canadian young people, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Hastings-Frontenac-Lennox and Addington (Mr. Vankoughnet):

That the Prime Minister and his Liberals cease asking the members of this House to pass items that are contrary to the law and thereby set an example to our young people to uphold and obey the law.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

THE CONSTITUTION

REFERRAL OF CONSTITUTIONAL RESOLUTION TO SUPREME COURT OF CANADA—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Benno Friesen (Surrey-White Rock-North Delta): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. In view of published reports that the Supreme Court of Canada has indicated its displeasure with the unilateral plan of the Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) to push through Parliament his constitutional resolution before the Manitoba appeal can be heard, and that the Supreme Court of Canada has indicated that the dignity of the Supreme Court of Canada is being affronted by the process the Liberal government has initiated, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Richmond-South Delta (Mr. Siddon):

That, since the Prime Minister has finally admitted that the constitutional resolution should be referred to the Supreme Court of Canada for a decision, this House affirm the dignity of both the Supreme Court of Canada and the Parliament of Canada by urging the Prime Minister to cease his political posturing by referring forthwith the constitutional resolution to the Supreme Court of Canada.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

INDIAN AFFAIRS

SALE OF LIQUOR AT MOHAWK INN ON TYENDINAGA RESERVE IN ONTARIO—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Jim Manly (Cowichan-Malahat-The Islands): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. Following several months of harassment, the Mohawk Inn on the Tyendinaga Reserve in Ontario has been raided by the Ontario Provincial Police and the Indian proprietor has been charged under an archaic section of the Indian Act which prohibits the sale of liquor on an Indian reserve. This is in spite of the fact that the inn has operated with the knowledge and consent of the band council. Considering the implications of this case for Indian business people right across the country, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Brant (Mr. Blackburn):

That the government recognize that the Mohawk Inn is a legitimate business, run by a responsible Indian businessman, and that the government treat this case as an important test and make the resources of the Department of Justice available for the defence.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

THE CONSTITUTION

SUGGESTED ADJOURNMENT OF DEBATE ON CONSTITUTIONAL RESOLUTION—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. David Kilgour (Edmonton-Strathcona): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. Noting that the Newfoundland Court of Appeal yesterday found the government's constitutional package to be illegal; acknowledging that by inviting this House to proceed with a proposal yesterday found illegal, the Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) is inviting hon. members to enact something which five out of eight appeal court justices have already found to be illegal and which the Supreme Court of Canada will hear on April 28; noting that many members of this House who are lawyers are bound by the Canadian Bar Association code of conduct, one