

substantive discussions on individual topics as early as possible after the commencement of the session.

4. There is no readily apparent reason why substantive and detailed discussion of items on the above menus (as compared with the overall conceptual discussions sought by some delegations) should take place anywhere other than in the relevant Working Group. This question aside, however, initial and non-controversial tasks for the two Working Groups could be considered to include:

Working Group on Legal and Institutional Issues

- . Organisation of the Working Group (including appointment of Chairman)
- . Immediate commencement of substantive work on the following non-controversial topics:
 - Preamble
 - Privileges and Immunities
 - Status of Protocols
 - Review of the Treaty
 - Amendments
 - Depositary
 - Authentic Texts

Working Group on Verification and Compliance

- . Organisation of the Working Group (including appointment of Chairman, discussion of management of the work program, consideration of initial tasking and priorities)
- . Session with IAEA representative (deferred from the 1993 Ad Hoc Committee's consideration of existing proposals)
- . Review of available verification technologies and costs
- . Immediate commencement of work on non- or less controversial topics such as Non-Compliance
- . (If agreed in line with the suggestion outlined in paragraph 4 above) focussed discussions on central issues related to verification and compliance, including scope of obligations

Delegation of Australia
9 December 1993
