

Canada. Here there is some modest possibility that arms control measures might help. Unilateral measures, such as the proposed keep-out zone in the Canadian Arctic, would constitute a major break with traditional Canadian policy but might also signal Canada's determination to refuse to passively accept the militarization of the Canadian Arctic. Failing such measures, Canada might be faced progressively with more unpalatable choices. The legitimate interests of US security will always elicit a sympathetic response from Canada. On the other hand, the *de facto* development of the Canadian Arctic as a zone of unilateral US military activity will surely be rejected. The challenge facing Canada is to devise policies which protect Canadian sovereignty, respond to legitimate US security needs, and make a contribution to the stability of the superpower relationship in the circumpolar Arctic. It is this theme that one would expect to find at the core of the next statement on defence policy, as the Canadian Government considers policies designed to contribute to a stable security environment in the twenty-first century.

