## AMERICAN PRONUNCIATION.

A very eroneus impresion prevails generaly in this country [Britain] as to the maner in which English is spoken in United States. This has arisen in some degree from the circumstance that travelers hav dwelt upon and ex،gerated such peculiaritis of language as hav come under observation in varius parts of the Union, but also in great mesure from the fact that in English novels and dramas in which an American figuresno matr whether the caracter depicted be represented as a man of good social position and, presumably, fair education, or not -he is made to expres himself in a dialect hapily combining the peculiaritis of speech of evry section of cuntry from Maine to ' P exas. With the exception of the late Mr. Anthony Trolop's American Senator, I canot recall to mind a singl work of fiction in which this is not the case. Take, for instance, those portions of Martin Chuzzlezvit, the senes of which ar laid in the United States; Richard Fairfield, in Bulwer's My Noval; the Colonel, in Sever's One of Them; Fullalove, in Chàs. Read's Very Hard Cash; the yunger Fenton in Yates' Blak Shecp; or the American traveler, in Mugby functionin each and evry instance the result is to convey a most eroneus idea as to the maner in which our comon tung is ordinarily spoken in U.S.

It is the same on the stage. The dialect in which Americans ar usualy made to expres themselvs in English Dramas is as incorrect and absurd as was the language put in the mouths of their Irsh caracters by the playrights of the i8th century.

As a matr of fact, the specch of educated Americans difers but litl from that of the same clas in Great Britain ; whilst, as regards the great bulk of peopl in U.S. ther can be no question but that they speak purer and more idiomatic English than do the masses here [in Britain.] In evry State of the Union the language of the inhabitants can be understood without the slightest dificulty. This is more than can be said of the dialects of the pesantry in varius parts of England, these being in many instances uninteligibl to a stranger. Again, the fluency of language posest by Americans, even in the umbler ranks of life, forms a markt contrast to the porerty of speech of the same clas in this cuntry, where, as an eminent filologist has declared, a very considerabl proportion of the agricultural population habitualy make use of a vocabulary not exceeding 300 words.-Chambers' $\mathfrak{F}$ urnal.

France has a S. R. A. formd ; Tresurer, M. Coudat, 30 rue de Grenelle, Paris. Like the rest of those devoted to a Simplification of Speling it wil move on three lines as we do, viz., Ist. Sientific Study of Speech

Sounds; 2d. Aplication of a part of the results so obtaind for scool purposes; 3d A very slight amelioration of current orthografy, or so fast only as it wil be accepted. These lines may be calld the Sientific, Educational and Popular lines. The foloing Five Rules ar alredy promulgated as a first instalment for popular use in France:
$I^{\circ}$ Supprimer $l^{\prime} h$ muette: onncur, téatre.
$2^{\circ}$ Ecrire $f$ pour ph: filozofe, fénix.
$3^{\circ}$ Ecrire $i$ pour ${ }^{\circ}$ emplosé pour un seuli: analize oxiiéne.
$4^{\circ}$ Dans le corps des mots, remplacer $\varsigma$, $c$ doux et $t$ doux par sou $s s, g$ doux par $j$. sdoux par $z$ : masson, acsion, venjansc, maizon.
$5^{\circ}$ Remplacer $x$ par $s$ comme marque du pluriel : chevaus, besticus.

## STUMBLING BLOKS.

The foloing list of words was "given out," to the aplicants for admision into the New York City Normal Scool, and altho aparently not dificult, they proved vei ${ }^{\text {i+ }}$ bl stumbling bloks:

| Aberration, | Embarrass, | Oscillation, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquiesce, | Felinn, | parish, |
| Atpueluct, | Fricassec, | Palace, |
| Atghanistan, | GAlye, | panama. |
| Bosphorms, | Hyacintin, | pasillanimons, |
| Balance, | Hamnilal, | Quinsy, |
| Ballast, | Irancible, | yarefi |
| Belligezent, | Itocular, | Recollect, |
| Cerement, | Inttue, | genimen, |
| Correlation, | Militia, | Siege, |
| Coralline. | Norrotiate, | Tyrammy, |
| Defamation, | Ommiscielt, | Vaccination, Vuccillation |

--Ther ar les than twenty monosylabls in our language, and about 400 words of two or more sylabls, some of them of frequent ocurence, that contain a short vowel and yet end in a final silent $c$-he sign of a long vowel. Who wud be injurd if the final $e$ wer dropt and these words wer thus redust to order? The monosylabls ar "have, are, bade (did bid), sparse, twelve, were, give, live, niche, serve, nerve, terse, verse, gone, shone, solve, bronze." The disylabls, etc., ar such words as "active, motive," about 400 ending in ive, and a few with other terminations, as " doctrine, hypocrite, opposite," etc. Let these words be printed hav, ar, giv, liv, activ, doctrin, oposit, etc., as a small instalment tords a true orthografy, and readers wil ask for another instalment,-Pitman.
-The word no might be speld in the foloing twenty way 3 , acording to the exampls givn belo:
Noh, nowe, hat, naut, new, nol, non, nough, Oh: owe, hauteur, hautboy, sew, folk, moula, coulgh, nôt, noo. noe, nock, noa, nos, depôt, brooch, foc, Cockburn, coat, Grosvenor, now, naoh, nwo, neo, neau, nog. know, Pharaoh. sword, ycoman, beau, oglio.
-Why do we omit the $e$ from wholly (whole-ly) and yet retain it in solcly, a word similarly formd?

