

WHY KING'S COLLEGE WON'T AMALGAMATE.

The History, Purposes and Aspirations of the Church of England in the Maritime Provinces, as sketched by the Rev. Archdeacon Jones of Windsor.

Powerful sermon by Archdeacon Jones in Stone Church—Strong appeal for the maintenance of the Church's institutions.

The Ven. Archdeacon Jones of Windsor, N. B., preached at St. John's (Stone) church yesterday morning on the subject of "The History, Purposes and Aspirations of the Church of England in the Maritime Provinces."

Without referring to the special meaning attached to these words, which were addressed to the angel of the church, the preacher in brief alluded to the condition in which King's College, Windsor, has been for the last few years, and showed the nature of the trust in connection with the college.

The speaker closed with an appeal to the churchmen of the maritime provinces to consider seriously plans by which the inevitable changes had taken place, and a new nation, the United States, had received its recognition from the world as an independent nation.

Dr. Chas. Inglis, rector of Trinity church, N. Y., was one of the leaders of this band of devoted churchmen. He proceeded to England and advocated the establishment of a school and university in Nova Scotia.

Dr. J. R. Inch, superintendent of education, has just returned from Halifax, where he attended the closing exercises of the deaf and dumb institution there, and in company with Premier Tweedie inspected the building, and familiarized themselves with the methods of teaching and the manner in which the institution is conducted.

Dr. Inch in an interview with the Sun last evening at the Royal, said that he and the premier visited the school rooms of the deaf and dumb institution in Halifax, had inspected the equipment of the building, and were perfectly satisfied with everything they had seen.

When questioned regarding the Kingston Manual Training and Consolidated School, Dr. Inch said that he and Mr. McKean had just opened the tenders for the entire construction of the building, and the lowest figure was \$14,700.

When questioned regarding the plan to establish a consolidated school of seven school districts in the neighborhood, Dr. Inch said that he had received word that his brother, Hon. Fred Peters of Victoria, B. C., is out of danger.

The supreme court met today. The chief justice in his charge to the grand jury referred to the large criminal docket, which was the most serious for years.

The grand jury found true bills against Joseph Carver for the murder of McCann, and against his brother, Hon. Fred Peters of Victoria, B. C., for assault that occasioned actual bodily harm; Bell for larceny, etc., and Catherine McNeil for concealment of the birth of a child.

THE MAN WHO CAPTURED RIEL.

Reminiscence of the Rebellion of 1885—Was Anxious to Surrender.

Riel voluntarily gave himself up on the advice of two or three of his intimate friends.

(Vancouver, B. C., Ledger.) The man who captured Louis Riel in the Northwest rebellion of 1885, is in Vancouver. Corporal Sloan, of the N. W. M. P., as he then was, long ago gave up the semi-military life of the hardy plainsman trooper, for the more prosaic occupation of cattle ranching.

"Yes," was his modest reply to a query last evening, "it was to me that Louis Riel handed his famous two-barrelled-shotgun. I knew him well, for I had been carrying dispatches all through the campaign. I met him at the beginning of the trouble before the Duck Lake massacre, it happened to be my duty to ride long distances with important dispatches."

"I was at Fort Carleton, 80 miles up the Saskatchewan river from Prince Rupert, an Inspector Crozier, and was sent to Riel's camp, where I rode through the campaign. I met him at the beginning of the trouble before the Duck Lake massacre, it happened to be my duty to ride long distances with important dispatches."

"When I got back from the second trip, I handed the message to Major Crozier, all he said after he read it was: 'I want you to get out of this war and we will show these fellows what we will do with them.' There was only one gun, nine-pounder, in the fort. Right after that was the Duck Lake fight, and the half-breeds came near showing us what they were capable of."

"To the end of the campaign our detachment was right in the thick of the trouble. The night after Battleford, when the rebellion was practically over, General Middleton named his headquarters five miles down from Battleford to Lepine's Crossing. He asked Major Crozier if any of his men could not find some one to bring him into camp. To show how near we were to the rebels, I may tell you that he had left Lepine's Crossing just an hour or so before Gen. Middleton moved up."

"I was with my mate, Constable 'Daisy' Wilmot, and I was asked if we thought we could locate 'that man.' We thought we could, and as just as bright as investing money for the benefit of the 'heathen Chinese' or the cannibals of Africa. If we could raise a little more enthusiasm over the sick, suffering and unfortunate around our own doorway, and not exhibit so much fervor toward the cannibalistic tribes of 'Darkest Africa,' the outside world would have more respect for our Christianity."

"There are now a number of young men in jail here! I wonder how many are taking any interest in their reformation. How many clergymen have paid visits to the jail during the past year? How many Christian workers have endeavored to carry into practice the old text about being sick and in prison, and catching the disease of the prisoners?"

"In the morning, before setting out, I called Wilmot to one side and we rode to the bluff, a quarter of a mile away, and I welcomed him of grog liquor again, and it was not till later we learned that the keg had been put aboard. Gen. Middleton's personal commissary."

"We rode about three miles, and I had got a little way from Wilmot, and just about 7 o'clock, was just making for a road long house I knew was near, when I saw a man approach at the top of a little rise. It was Louis Riel."

"I beckoned to me to come in, and as I knew Riel was the 'big game,' was sure he wanted to see me, and there was no trap laid for me. When I approached, he said: 'Sloan, this is the best business I want to do. I thought I ought to do? What will become of me?'"

"I told him that he knew very well what he was doing, and that he should give himself up to the 'big game,' Gen. Middleton, long ago. I did not wish to advise him. Just then the three men, Wilmot, Armstrong and Hourie, came up, and they got into camp, C. school had got onto the fact that there was something up, and they were up at us for bringing Riel in on the quiet without letting them get at him. Had they captured him, I do not believe they would ever have wanted to bring him in."

Mr. Sloan has been out of the police for seven years past.

THE MAD MULLAH. LONDON, June 30.—Col. Rochfort says that the Abyssinians have effectually closed to the Mullah all the watering places south of the Gergisul-Cul-line, and he hopes they will soon be in contact with the British troops, and thus be able to co-operate in the pursuit of the Mullah, who is delayed in his movements by the fact that he is crossing a waterless part of the country.

The colonel's dispatch was dated June 14.

THREW ACID. SHERIFF'S SALE.

How Mrs. George Kirkpatrick Attempted Suicide.

But When Prevented Threw Strong Carbolic Acid on Her Husband.

(Star, Tuesday.) Harrigan's Alley, off Brussels street—a place concerning which much has been heard, came very nearly being the scene of a tragedy last night, and strangely enough, it was only by a coincidence of the causes which would have been responsible that fatal results were avoided.

Among the many more or less respectable persons who live in the hovels of this neglected street, Mrs. Kirkpatrick and her husband, the husband being employed by Contractor Maxwell in the city quarry, has been in the city since the first of the year. She is said by those living in the vicinity to be addicted to liquor and of a quarrelsome disposition.

The couple were married last October and since that time their married life has been practically one continuous fight. Mrs. Kirkpatrick says that within a week of the day they were married her husband blackened both of her eyes.

During their stay in the shack on Brussels street there has been frequent quarrels and the neighbors do not know which is the more worthy of blame, Kirkpatrick or his wife. It appears that he has been in the habit of beating her and for this she is no doubt partly to blame.

Whatever the truth may be, last evening show that Mrs. Kirkpatrick considered that the thing had gone far enough. She would not stand any more abuse and resolved to do away with herself. She had been working during the afternoon and on coming home at five o'clock got into a quarrel with her husband, who she brutally beat. The other side of the story, of course, is that she started the fight, but at any rate about six o'clock she went to a drug store and bought a bottle of carbolic acid, which she carried with her to end her life. Speaking to a Star reporter this morning, Mrs. Kirkpatrick, in a most cold-blooded manner, said that she intended to go home with the acid, get into bed and drink it. She was determined to take it in her own house, as she did not want to be dying round other people's doorsteps.

Upon reaching the yard she found that her husband had locked the house, and she was unable to get in. She had the bottle of acid with her, and she intended to enter the house by the back door, which she had unlocked, and she was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house. She was about to enter when she saw her husband, who she had just seen her husband, when she interfered and refused to allow them to enter the house.

SEAMEN'S MISSION.

H. C. Tilley's Resignation.

The board of directors and the manager of the Seamen's Mission greatly regret the necessity for the resignation of their esteemed president, H. C. Tilley.

We deeply deplore the loss we have sustained by his resignation. We know that Mr. Tilley found it necessary to take this step by reason of increasing business demands, and because to present his health is not as robust as usual, he was not able to perform the extra duty which this office entails. No one regrets this necessity more than Mr. Tilley himself.

In view of these circumstances, Mr. Tilley requested that he be relieved from any active work in the management of the mission for at least a year. He assures us that his interest in the mission is as great, or greater, than ever, and he will do all in his power to promote its interests and secure its success.

Since Mr. Tilley's connection with the mission he has given lavishly of his time and strength to the work, while most of the responsibility has rested upon him. The mission owes him a debt of gratitude of which we are deeply conscious.

At the last meeting of the managing committee, Lady Tilley was present and graciously presented the mission with the sum of \$500. This sum she explained was left by the late Sir S. L. Tilley to be eventually used in the mission of the Seamen's Mission in this city. Because of the delay in completing the mission at present, hindering its progress, Lady Tilley has most generously given the money to the mission at once.

The aid which Lady Tilley has given the mission in the past in money, time and influence is inestimable in value to the mission, and it is our hope that she will continue to be present by consenting to serve the mission still as president of the ladies' auxiliary, which society has done so much to aid the work of the mission.

At the same meeting Mr. Tilley graciously placed at the disposal of the mission the sum of \$300 for an indefinite period, without interest, to be used toward cancelling the debt; thus showing in a most practical way his good will towards the institution he has served so long and so well.

The amount of the debt which is being cancelled is \$1,000, and it is our hope that the amount of four or five hundred dollars, if this sum can be raised at once, we may prosecute our work untrammelled.

In the future the work will be so systematized that the exact sum needed for running expenses will be definitely known, and the present liabilities for the work cost may not exceed \$2,000 per year. A considerable portion of this may be contributed by the Seamen's Mission, which should be looked for only in the beginning.

If the society could receive a few more generous gifts by way of endowment, it would be placed on a footing that would give the necessary opportunities of giving all his time and strength to ministering to the men, rather than exhausting both in trying to secure funds with which to prosecute the work.

By order of the board of managers, E. H. ROPER, Manager.

CHRONIC CONSTIPATION cured by or money back. LAXA-CARA TABLETS never fail. Small, chocolate coated, easy to take. Price, 35 cents. At drugists.

BLAIR'S BIG JOB.

Will Draw a Salary of \$25,000 per Annum.

MONTREAL, June 30.—It is reported here on good authority that the Premier is to resign in the near future to accept a place on Blair's new railway committee. Blair himself accordingly would give the necessary opportunity of giving all his time and strength to ministering to the men, rather than exhausting both in trying to secure funds with which to prosecute the work.

The next day she put another collar out and watched. A robin flew down from an apple tree nearby and carried off the collar. An investigation was made and the two other collars were found woven into the bird's nest in a crotch of the apple tree.

There was also a small lace handkerchief in the nest. The bird that was making the nest was seen to be carrying the lace handkerchief in its beak.

HALIFAX, N. S., June 30.—The British flag ship Ariadne, accompanied by the cruisers Retribution and Tribune, will sail for Bar Harbor, via St. John, on Saturday, returning on the 17th.

Admiral Sir Archibald and Lady Douglas go with the fleet. On July 27th the same ships will sail for Quebec and Montreal.

The German first class cruiser Vint, now here, will go into the dry dock.

FALL RIVER, Mass., June 28.—One of the cars of an electrical train on the Worcester division of the New York, New Haven & Hartford R. R., running between this city and Providence, and containing 300 passengers, jumped the track tonight on the trestle bridge which spans President avenue, and ran forty feet over the ties before it stopped. One employe had his foot crushed, but beyond this no one was injured.

THE CLERGY LIKE IT.

Dr. Agnew's Catarrhal Powder Cures All Colds. It Relieves in 10 Minutes.

Here are a few names of clergymen of different creeds, who are firm believers in Dr. Agnew's Catarrhal Powder. "I live up to the preaching in all its claims," Bishop Sweetland writes. "I have used it for years." Dr. Withrow and Rev. Dr. Chambers, (Methodist) of Toronto, write: "I have used it for years. Copies of their personal letters for the asking."

DR. AGNEW'S OINTMENT RELIEVES PILES IN 10 MINUTES.

SHERIFF'S SALE.

There will be sold at Public Auction at Chubb's Corner (so-called) in the City of Saint John, at the hour of twelve o'clock on SATURDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER next, all and singular, the right, title and interest of Joseph C. Drury, late of this county, deceased, in and to the premises described as follows:

Part lot one of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot two of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot three of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot four of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot five of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot six of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot seven of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot eight of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot nine of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot ten of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot eleven of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twelve of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot thirteen of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot fourteen of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot fifteen of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot sixteen of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot seventeen of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot eighteen of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot nineteen of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twenty of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twenty-one of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twenty-two of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twenty-three of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twenty-four of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twenty-five of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twenty-six of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twenty-seven of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twenty-eight of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot twenty-nine of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

SHERIFF'S SALE.

There will be sold at Public Auction at Chubb's Corner (so-called) in the City of Saint John, at the hour of twelve o'clock on SATURDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF OCTOBER next, all and singular, the right, title and interest of Joseph C. Drury, late of this county, deceased, in and to the premises described as follows:

Part lot one of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot two of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot three of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot four of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot five of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot six of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed.

Part lot seven of the lot of land situated in the Parish of Simonds, in the County of Saint John, conveyed by deed dated March 21st, 1881, from John Douglas, junior, to John Douglas, senior, and his wife, and being the same as is more fully described in the said deed, and being the same as is more fully described