

tion of David Inglis' Millenarian heresy; also his condemnation of the standing and singing the last psalm of the forenoon diet of worship, and the last psalm of the evening diet of worship. Even as lately as in my office on the Friday next preceding the said congregational meeting, when I asked him in presence of my brother, if he had spoken of me in disrespectful terms at any meeting of the Session, as I was told that he had, he positively denied it, and appealed to me, that I knew, that he was opposed to Mr. Inglis' Millenarian views; and that had he and Adam Cook been here when the change in the singing was made, they would have opposed it, as he thought David Inglis was wrong in both: and closed with the remark, "I know we are in a box, and the dear knows how we are to get out of it." So that McLellan's unabated confidence must have been—not in David's honesty in adhering to the standards of his Church, and his oath,—but in David's determination to hold the Millenarian heresy, in direct violation of said standards, as he McLellan knew that David held it, before his call here. But upon what his *esteem* of David could be founded, Donald must say, I cannot.

Next comes—

DOCTOR MACDONALD.

The Doctor's "unabated confidence." Shortly after I stopped David Inglis preaching so broadly as hetheretofore did his Millenarian heresy, some boasting by others was heard; amongst these, was the worthy Doctor above named, who asserted in speaking thereof, "we have stopped him." Upon what grounds therefore has Doctor MacDonald "unabated confidence in our esteemed pastor?" certainly and assuredly not—that David Inglis was "maintaining to the utmost of his power," the standards of our Church, which he had sworn to be "the truths of God;" for if so, why stop him? it was assuredly as wrong—grievously wrong in the Doctor to stop David preaching "the truths of God," as for David to submit to be stopped; pray then, what is the "unabated confidence?" and upon what does the "esteem" rest? If Hopkins' "unabated confidence" lay in his belief of David's capacious maw for money, as justly it might, pray Doctor, what did your's consist in? I have no doubt the congregation would like to know.

And, finally, for the present—

ADAM COOK.

Adam's "unabated confidence." At the congregational meeting to moderate in a call for a minister, when David Inglis was proposed, he—Adam Cook, rose and opposed him upon the ground that Mr. Inglis "preached strange doctrines." David's friends at once insisted upon an explanation of the "strange doctrines," pretending ignorance of same; but Adam being, as they well knew, one of that class of beings, whom ministers are fond, and whom the Rev. George

X. c/f