

wall at this end, and not at the other'; there the relation of master and servant does not exist, and the employer is not liable. But if the employer has a right to say to the person employed, 'you shall do it in this way, that is to say, not only shall you do it by virtue of your agreement with me, but you shall do it as I direct you to do it,' there the law of master and servant applies, and the master is responsible."

"Upon the principle that *qui facit per alium facit per se*, the master is responsible for the acts of his servant; and that person is undoubtedly liable who stood in the relation of master to the wrongdoer, he who had selected him as his servant, from the knowledge of or belief in his skill or care, and who could remove him for misconduct, and whose orders he was bound to receive and obey": *Quarmdn v. Burnett*, 6 M. & W. 499. But the principle above laid down cannot apply to the case where the person sought to be charged is not the master, "where he does not employ his own servants and workmen to do the work, but intrusts the execution of the work to a person who exercises an independent employment, and has the immediate dominion and control over the workmen engaged in the work."

## II. Statement of the General Rule.

Therefore a person who procures work to be done for him by an independent contractor, "by an agent that is over whom he reserves no power of control," is not, as a general rule, liable for the negligence or other torts committed by the contractor or his servants in the course of the work; such negligence is known as casual or collateral negligence.

The rule is formulated by A. L. Smith, L.J., in a recent case as follows:—

"In order to render a person liable for an act of negligence, which he did not himself commit, it must be shewn by the person injured, either that the person sought to be made liable authorized the act of negligence complained of, or that it was committed by his servant in the course of his employment, or that he owed such a duty to the person injured that he could not, by