not a proper and common sense way to pedantic plebeian and are unworthy of a remove that doubt by adopting the amendment of the hon. gentleman from New Westminsteroranamendment in that direction? As to the wording of the amendment, I am not altogether satisfied with it; but an amendment to that effect will be right and proper. It will remove the doubts of the property owners there, and will satisfy the country that the terminus, as far as the Government is concerned, is fixed at Port Moody. I do not see why the Minister of Justice should object to confirming by statute what he has confirmed here by his statement. He has on two or three occasions confirmed the statement that the western terminus was fixed at Port Moody, and there is no reason why we should not place that statement in this Bill. The hon. Minister in one breath says that the Company have the right to extend the road, and in another breath he says, as far as the Government are concerned, the terminus is at Port Moody. What objection is there to removing all possible doubt by saying in this Bill that the Government shall erect the terminal buildings at Port Moody?

HON. MR. MACDONALD (B.C.)-I ask the hon. gentleman from New Westminster this question: Supposing the terminal buildings were placed at Port Moody to-day and the terminus was at Coal Harbor, what would be the benefit to Port Moody? None whatever.

HON. MR. McINNES-The Government would then be acting in good faith.

HON. MR. PLUMB-The hon. gentleman is out of order; he has spoken several times already.

Mr. McINNES—The Hon. hon. gentleman from Niagara should not interrupt me; he has been out of order and spoken several times, himself, this evening.

HON. MR. PLUMB-The hon. gentleman from New Westminster has spoken several times already and I call him to order.

McINNES—The hon. Hon. Mr. gentleman from Niagara should not inter- man from Westminster decry the future rupt me; his remarks are those of a trade of this road, and say that the reason

gentleman occupying a position in this House.

HON. MR. MACDONALD-I expected the hon. member from New Westminster to bring forward a more business-like proposition than the one he has submitted to the House. If he had brought forward a proposition that the terminus should be confined to Port Moody there would be something business-like about it; but he says there is no objection to the terminus going 12 miles beyond Port Moody, if the terminal buildings are constructed at Port Moody.

HON. MR. MCINNES-Not if they extend the road with their own money.

HON. MR. MACDONALD-You are asking the Government to expend money in erecting terminal buildings at a place which will not be the terminus if the road is extended. The hon. gentleman and the hon. member for Lunenburg and the hon. member from Halifax have brought forward most untenable arguments in that way.

HON. MR. POWER-If the terminal buildings are erected at Port Moody, the road will not likely be extended any further.

HON. MR. MACDONALD-The contract to erect the terminal buildings is with the Syndicate, and if the Government and the Syndicate wish to vary the contract they can vary it.

HON. MR. POWER-The Minister of Justice says not.

MACDONALD — The HON. MR. terminus is to be the terminus fixed by the Government by Order-in-Council, and it can be varied at any time by the Government by Order-in-Council.

HON. MR. KAULBACH-It is fixed by Statute.

HON. MR. MACDONALD-I was much surprised to hear the hon. gentle-

HON. MR. POWER.