#### TARIFF COMMISSION-Con.

### Pugsley, Hon. Wm.-Con.

ment objectionable—3541. Allow people to go voluntarily and state their griev-ances—3542. Probably he had not jstudied the evidence—3544. It would be regarded as impertinent and people would not go\_3550. It is just changing the wording, that is all—3551. Then the subsection stands for further considera-tion—3552. Your Bill does not provide for that—3558. The subsection does not say that the Finance Minister shall make the information confidential—3566. They can hold back all the confidential information—3567. It means that they shall not transmit it with their official report—3571. Can the minister having received a vote based upon sworn testiment objectionable-3541. Allow people received a vote based upon sworm testi-mony refuse to bring it down-3572. If the Bill passes even the minister might not know the information upon which the board is acting-3574. The commis-sion would have power to report in favour of such and such action-3575. Tayour of such and such action—35/3. Will the meetings be open, or a star chamber proceeding, a private per-formance—3579. The moment you give the commission power to exclude the public you make it a star chamber in-quiry—3580. Would they want evidence under oath as to secret trade processes? —3583 The newspapers say that Mr -3583. The newspapers say that Mr. Crocket is to be one of the commis-sioners-3586. How would it do to dissioners-3386. How would it do to dis-pose of the amendment already moved to section 5?-3588. Wonders how Mr. Foster feels about that poison he said would be injected into the veins of the government, if the election went ad-versely-3589. The record a bit mixed, suggests the withdrawal of the first two amendments-3591. Mr. Currie is obsuggests the withdrawal of the hrst two amendments-3591. Mr. Currie is ob-structing the Bill in the most unwar-ranted manner-3594. Moves an amend-ment to section 5-3596. Evidence taken on oath should be published for the benefit of every member of parliament and the public-3597. There is nothing to prevent those commissioners getting to prevent those commissioners getting private information if they want to-3598. The clear intention is that they shall take evidence under oath-3599. This section is very different from sec-tion 374 of the Railway Act-3600. Pretion 374 of the Railway Act-3600. Pre-cisely as it is copied into this Bill-3601. Has a copy of the Congressional Bill, but the Bill did not pass-3602. Once you make it public and it is open to business competition, as well as the whole world-3603. The Congress drafts-man very careful to provide that Con-gress should have access to all evidence Quotes-3605. Wants all information to be available for the government and parliament-3606. Nothing to prevent the Finance Minister of Customs receiving information-3607. Is the Finance Minister or Minister of Customs receiving information-3607. Is it not a fact that they can determine when they will sit with closed doors-3608. Thinks the government should accept an additional clause-3609. Moves an addition to as clause 8-3610. That is not inconsistent with the subsection passed-3611. Will have to appeal against the ruling. Nothing inconsistent in the amendment-3615. There way no

# TARIFF COMMISSION-Con.

## Pugsley, Hon. Wm.-Con.

tey, Hon. W m.-Con. appeal made to the House, but to your Honour under the rules-3616. Before you compel the attendance of a wit-ness in a civil case you must tender him his witness fees-3620. Are not the salaries pretty high? Compares them with judges, etc.-3625. Chairman of the I. C. R. only gets \$6,000-3626. With-out pleadings?-4080. The amendments substantially follow the lines suggested on that side of the House-4081.

# Schaffner, F. L. (Souris)-2967.

More and more satisfied that they are going to solve the problem of distrust existing between the manufacturing and agricul-tural classes-2967. The feeling has arisen simply because we fail to under-stand each other. The great question, Who is the Commission to serve?-2968. We must get out of our minds this sus-picion, and deal with the question fairly and squarely-2969. It is the most pro-gressive piece of legislation introduced into the House since he entered it-2970.

Sharpe, S. (North Ontario)-3018.

Does' Mr. Guthrie expect confidential evidence to be submitted to the House?-3018. Asks if this Bill provides for investigation into capitalization of bonds, stock issues, &c.-3557. Then it might take cognizance of an over-bond issue-3558. Then the Then the cost of production would vary according to the bond issue—3559. Does not sec-tion 4 control that?—3571. You nullify the whole effect of the Act unless you empower them to inquire into the cost of production—3576. As how notice of empower them to inquire into the cost of production-3576. Asks how notice of sittings, investigations or examinations will be announced-3590. Without this provision the Commission could not com-pel the giving of information-3598. Sec-tion 374 refers to returns, not to oral evidence-3600. If the amendment is carried it will nullify the last vote-3611. Messrs. Ames and Cockshutt merely expressed their private opinions. The commission is to benefit the con-The commission is to benefit the con-sumer-3613. The amendment should be meet the objection met by the amend-ment-3623. The Commission should have power to investigate all these things -3624.

### Sinclair, J. H. (Guysborough)-3549.

Never heard of any restrictions in the number of witnesses in a court of law-3549. Would this section restrict the 3349. Would this section restrict the right of a member to move for a return? -3579. The minister has not said definitely whether he would accept Mr. Pugsley's proposal or no-3609. The minister should accept the amendment. Hints aster should accept the amendment. Hints have been thrown out that the Com-missioners must be in sympathy with the government—3612. He will not want to have it go to the country that he re-fused a proposition of this kind—3613. Moves to add a subsection to section 5— 2624 3624.