power resolution should not be put to a vote that day power resolution should not had an opportunity of conbecause delegates had not had an opportunity of considering it adequately or receiving instructions from sidering it adequately or receiving instructions from their governments. I also said that if the eight their governments put to a vote that day, the canadian Delegation, for lack of instructions, would canadian Delegates will recall that the eight power abstain. Delegates will recall that the eight power abstain was put to a vote on the same day and that resolution was put to a vote on the resolution of the Canadian Delegation abstained on the canadian Delegation of the canadian Delegation abstained on the canadian Delegation of the canadian Delegation of the canadian Delegation of the canadian Delegation abstained on the canadian Delegation of the canadian Delegation abstained on the canadian Delegation of the canadian Delegat resolution was put to a vote on the same day and that the Canadian Delegation abstained on the resolution as the Canadian Delegates will also recall that the Indonesian resolution was not put to a vote.

The Canadian Government has now had an The Canadian Government has now had an opportunity of studying the resolution before us and finds that though couched in more moderate language and finds that resolution, it seeks to accomplish than the Indonesian resolution, it resolution, it substantially the same result. The resolution, it substantially the same result for negotiations between seems to us, in effect calls for negotiations between seems to us, in effect salues about the sovereignty of the Netherlands and Indonesia about the sovereignty of the Netherlands and Indonesia before the fundamental the territory of West New Guinea before and without legal questions involved have been resolved and without the territory of west how daring before the fundamental legal questions involved have been resolved and without legal questions the wishes of the inhabitants. legal questions involved have been resolved and without reference to the wishes of the inhabitants. Hence we reference to the wishes of the inhabitants. Hence we oppose the eight power resolution for substantially the oppose the eight power in the First Committee for same reasons as we gave in the First Committee for opposing the resolution sponsored by Indonesia.

Voting Results

Following is the text of a resolution (U.N. Doc. A/C.1/760) adopted as a whole in the First Committee on November 30, 1954, by the First Committee on 14 against, with 10 a vote of 34 in favour to 14 against, with 10 a vote of 34 in favour (including Canada). abstentions (including Canada).

In the 37th plenary meeting voting on the preamble was 34 in favour to 21 against, with 5 abstentions (including Canada); on paragraph 1 of the operative part 34 in favour to 23 of the operative (including Canada), with 3 abstract of the operative part 34 in favour to 23 against (including Canada), with 3 abstentions; on paragraph 2 of the operative part 33 in on paragraph 2 against (including Canada), with favour to 23 against (including Canada), with 4 abstentions. Since the resolution did not 4 abstentions against in plenary achieve a two-thirds majority in plenary session, it failed to be adopted.

Text of Resolution

The General Assembly,

Having considered item 61, "The Question of West Irian (West New Guinea)",

Recalling that by the agreements reached at The in 1949 between Indonesia and the Netherlands a Hague in 1949 between indonesia and the Netherlands a new relationship as between the two countries, as sovereign new relationship as established but it was not independent States, was established but it was not independent States, which is not states and the states of the states of

Recalling the dedication of the parties to the in dispute, Recalling the decition of the parties to the principle of resolving by peaceful and reasonable means any differences that exist or arise between them,