Edict of 1743 cited, 281.—Appellants' fourth point, 282.—The English law of Mortmain, 282.-Jarman on Wills cited, 283.-Appellants' fifth point, 285 .- Statutes of 1801, 285 .- Guyot cited, 266. -Judge Beaudry's decision, 287.-Argument of Mr. Barnard for Respondent, 294.—Ordinance of 1743 cited, 296.—Remarks of Lefebre, 299.—The old French law, 302.—Troplong cited, 303.— English law, 304.—Law in the U.S., 305.—Authorities cited. 310.—Our law different from that of 1743, 313.—Validity of the. Will under Article 869 of the Code, 319.—Remarks of Judge Kerr, 327.—Remarks of Judge Pyke, 328.—Case of Freligh and Sevmour, 330,-Conclusion, 332.-Remarks of Lord Coke, 335,-Jarman on Mortmain, 336.—Statute of Mortmain, 337.—Argument of Mr. Cross, Q.C., 338.—Restriction as to Corporation. 340.—Queen's prerogative to create Corporations, 342.—Authorities cited, 342.— Argument of Mr. Laflamme, Q.C., 346.—Authorities cited by him, 347.—The power of creating Corporations, 349.—Case of Hawkins and Allen, 353.

GALLICAN CHURCH in Canada. Vide Ecclesiastical law.

GENEVA AWARD. The manner in which it has been received by the press of England, 381.—The decision a triumph for the Americans, 381.—Remarks of Sir A. Cockburn, 382.—His definition of International law, 383.—Due diligence, 384. Authorities cited, 385.—Arguments of Mr. Adams, 389.—Argument of Vicompte d'Itajuba, 392.—Argument of M. Starmpfli, 394.—Argument of Comte Sclopis, 395.—Text of the decision, 401.

GIROUARD. D. Church and State 1, 113.—Railway Grants, 44.—Insolvency questions, 63.—The Alabama Indirect Claims, 185.—La Legislation Provinciale de 1871, 221.—Les Promesses de Mariages sont elles valides en droit, 358.—The Geneva Award, 381.—The Treaty of Washington before the Parliament of Canada, 409.—Of mortgages passed out of the presence of the Creditor, 427.

GRAY, HON. J. H. Wills and intestacy, 147.

INDEMNITY in Expropriation, 71.

Insolvency. Assigning without assets, 63.—Decision of Sutherland, J., 63.—Decision of Jones, J., 63.—Not obligatory on Insolvent to produce any assets, 64.—Discharge granted by the Court, 65.—Person ceasing to be a trader taking benefit of Act, 65.—The case of J. E. Villeneuve, 65.—The case of Archibald and al. of Nova Scotia, 66.—Judgment of Young, C. J., 66.—The case of Surtees and Ellison, 66.—The policy of the Imperial and Colonial Legislatures from time to time, 67.—Traders and non-traders, 67.—The Act of '69, 67.—Lord Tenterden's opinion, 67.—the opinion of the Dominion Parliament, 68.—The case of Simpson's Estate, 68.—The law in the United States, 68.—The Statute of Limitations, 69.—The case of Wright and Hall, 69.—The case of Freeman and Moyes, 69.—The case of "The Ironsides," 69.—The case of Cornill and Huddon, 69.—The case of Coulson and Sangster, 69.