

to grant whatever sums may be necessary to ensure the proper defence of the Country, nevertheless believes that it cannot, without abdicating its right to control the public expenditure, authorize a large expenditure for permanent defences, until the Government shall have informed this House as to what works of defence are intended to be constructed, what will be the probable cost of such works, and what is the proportion to be paid by this Province ;" inserted instead thereof.

And the Question being put on the Amendment, the House divided : and the names being called for, they were taken down, as follow :—

YEAS :

Messieurs

<i>Lourassa,</i>	<i>Fortier,</i>	<i>Joly,</i>	<i>Pâquet,</i>
<i>Caron,</i>	<i>Geoffrion,</i>	<i>Labreche-Viger,</i>	<i>Perrault,</i>
<i>Coupal,</i>	<i>Holton,</i>	<i>Laframboise,</i>	<i>Rymal,</i>
<i>Dorion (Drum & Arth)</i>	<i>Houde,</i>	<i>Lajoie,</i>	<i>Scatcherd, and</i>
<i>Dorion (Hochelaga),</i>	<i>Huntington,</i>	<i>O'Halloran,</i>	<i>Thibaudeau.—21.</i>
<i>Dufresne (Iberville),</i>			

NAYS :

Messieurs

<i>Abbott,</i>	<i>DeBoucherville,</i>	<i>Macdonald, Atty. Gen.</i>	<i>Rémillard,</i>
<i>Alley,</i>	<i>Denis,</i>	<i>Macdonald (Cornwall)</i>	<i>Robitaille,</i>
<i>Archambeault,</i>	<i>DeNiverville,</i>	<i>Macdonald (Or' to W.)</i>	<i>Rose,</i>
<i>Ault,</i>	<i>Duclett,</i>	<i>Macfarlane,</i>	<i>Ross (Champlain),</i>
<i>Beaubien,</i>	<i>Dufresne (Montcalm),</i>	<i>Mackenzie (Lambton),</i>	<i>Ross (Dundas),</i>
<i>Bellerose,</i>	<i>Dunsford,</i>	<i>Mackenzie (N. Oxford)</i>	<i>Ross (Prince Edward),</i>
<i>Biggar,</i>	<i>Evanturel,</i>	<i>Magill,</i>	<i>Scoble,</i>
<i>Blanchet,</i>	<i>Ferguson (Frontenac),</i>	<i>McConkey,</i>	<i>Shanly,</i>
<i>Bowman,</i>	<i>Ferguson (S. Simcoe),</i>	<i>McDougall,</i>	<i>Smith (East Durham)</i>
<i>Boun,</i>	<i>Galt,</i>	<i>McGee,</i>	<i>Smith (Toronto East),</i>
<i>Brousseau,</i>	<i>Gaucher,</i>	<i>McGiverin,</i>	<i>Stirton,</i>
<i>Brown,</i>	<i>Gaudet,</i>	<i>MacIntyre,</i>	<i>Street,</i>
<i>Burwell,</i>	<i>Gibbs,</i>	<i>McKellar,</i>	<i>Sylvain,</i>
<i>Cameron (N. Ontario),</i>	<i>Harwood,</i>	<i>Morris,</i>	<i>Thompson,</i>
<i>Cameron (Peel),</i>	<i>Haultain,</i>	<i>Morrison,</i>	<i>Tremblay,</i>
<i>Carling,</i>	<i>Higginson,</i>	<i>Parker,</i>	<i>Wallbridge (N. Hast's)</i>
<i>Cartier, Atty. Gen.</i>	<i>Howland,</i>	<i>Pinsonneault,</i>	<i>Walsh,</i>
<i>Cartwright,</i>	<i>Irvine,</i>	<i>Pope,</i>	<i>Webb,</i>
<i>Cauchon,</i>	<i>Jackson,</i>	<i>Poulin,</i>	<i>Wells,</i>
<i>Chambers,</i>	<i>Jones (South Leeds),</i>	<i>Pouliot,</i>	<i>White,</i>
<i>Chapais,</i>	<i>Knight,</i>	<i>Poupre,</i>	<i>Willson,</i>
<i>Cockburn,</i>	<i>Langevin,</i>	<i>Powell,</i>	<i>Wright (Ottawa Co.),</i>
<i>Cowan,</i>	<i>LeBoutillier,</i>	<i>Raymond,</i>	<i>Wright (E. York).—93.</i>
<i>Currier,</i>			

So it passed in the Negative.

And the Question being again proposed, That the Second Resolution be now read a second time.

The Honorable Mr. Macdonald (Cornwall) moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. Scatcherd, That the words "that while this House is unwilling to refuse its consent to a grant of money for the defence of the Country, which has been recommended by the responsible servants of the Crown, it nevertheless desires to express its regret that fuller information has not been afforded to Parliament in explanation of the necessity for such an enormous outlay, which is founded upon a policy, wherein the Provincial Legislature is now called upon for the first time to concur, and which will inevitably entail vast additional burthens upon the Country hereafter; and that this House is furthermore of opinion that no portion of the said grant should be expended until after detailed Estimates, as to the nature and cost of the various works to be undertaken, shall have been submitted to Parliament;" be added at the end thereof.