

A WORD TO PROFESSORS.

IN the 22nd of Matthew we find "a man among the guests which had not on a wedding garment," and yet amongst the guests. How solemn; as the sequel proves. If the reader will carefully go over this scripture he will see that the king makes a marriage feast for his son. Yes, it is for his son. His son is to be honored, he loves his son; and he will have him honored, spite of [the invited, refusing to come. "They would not come." Spite of their making light of it. Spite of the murderous assault on his servants by the remnant. Spite of all this, he will have his son honored. Scripture is very plain as to it all, for well we know this One who is to be honored is none other than the eternal Son of God, who ever lay in the bosom of the Father, and so we read, "The Father loveth the Son, and hath given all things into His hands." And again, "The Father hath committed all judgment unto the Son, that all should honor the Son even as they honor the Father which hath sent Him." Every knee must bow. But here among the assembled ones is a man who has *taken the place* of an invited guest, and yet no garment on suited to the presence of the one who, in grace, had provided all. It could not be that he was ignorant; that he did not know what was befitting the company of this one who so graciously sent out the message. No, no. He had no heart for it, he cared naught for the honor of the king's son. Right well he knew that he was garmentless and "speechless" too when all came out. It does not say the

others no
king came
difference
you seekin
be like Ac
garden, fo
brought h
die in you
"bound h
darkness,
blessed to
fessor, the
blood of J
sin. "He
not the Sc

" I CO
or
ac
for the sal
honestly a
I confess t
of poor, is
live not t
come, my
as many o
will becom
And thoug
do satisfy
heaven an
follow the
take no de