

In what relates to the said *Jonathan Sewell*, Esquire, we have felt it to be our duty, when arraigning his judicial conduct, to charge him also with various acts of tyranny and oppression in the administration of the Government of this Province, and with measures injurious to the honour and interest of His Majesty's Government, of which we believe, and will prove, him, by his pernicious counsels, to have been the author.

Having investigated and ascertained the abuses and grievances which are the subjects of complaint, and founded determinate accusations on them, We, His Majesty's Faithful Commons, have done all to which we are competent, for the attainment of justice: it is only from the power of His Majesty's Government, that we can hope for relief and redress, and our confidence in the justice and wisdom of your Royal Highness, assures us, that our humble appeal to that power will not be ineffectual.

Wherefore, we His Majesty's Faithful Commons of this Province, most respectfully beg leave to be permitted to lay at the feet of Your Royal Highness, the grounds of our complaint and accusation against the said *Jonathan Sewell* and *James Monk*, Esquires, and pray that in consideration of the premises they may be removed from their respective Offices, and that the authority of His Majesty's Government may be interposed in such way as, in Your Royal Highness's wisdom, may appear necessary for bringing them to justice.

The above representation referred to in  
the Report of a Special Committee,  
dated the 25th February, 1814.

(Signed)

J. STUART, Chairman.

Debates ensued, and it was finally

ORDERED, That the question of concurrence be now put on the Heads of Impeachment against *Jonathan Sewell*, Esquire, separately.

Accordingly, the question was put separately upon the said Heads of Impeachment, and on the conclusion and the title thereof. A division having ensued upon each, they were carried in the affirmative, and it was  
RE-