

CANADA,
North-West Territories, } THE QUEEN vs. LOUIS RIEL.

FRANÇOIS X. LEMIEUX, Barrister, one of the counsel of Louis Riel, the accused, being duly sworn, deposeseth and says :

That in the course of last June, towards the end of the month, he was retained by persons interested on behalf of the accused to undertake his defence.

That persons were instructed to cause to be brought to Regina essential and necessary witnesses in the defence of Louis Riel, and believed to be such by the deponent.

That the witnesses above referred to are Doctor François Roy, of Quebec, Doctor Clark, of Toronto, and Doctor A. Vallée, of Quebec.

That the deponent verily believes that the said witnesses would have reached Regina by this time, but by reason of misapprehension and circumstances beyond control, the said witnesses have failed or have not been able to be present in order to give their evidence.

That from his experience as a counsel and advocate he swears that the said Drs. Roy, Vallée and Clark are necessary, material and indispensable witnesses for the defence of the accused, and moreover, are the sole witnesses capable of proving certain important facts relating to the said defence.

That the deponent verily believes that if a delay of one month is granted he can procure the said witnesses by going himself to Quebec and Toronto, and that, at the expiration of the said delay, the above-named witnesses will be present at the Court to give evidence in favor of the accused.

And the deponent has signed.

(Signed),

F. X. LEMIEUX.

Sworn before me, at Regina, this }
21st day of July, 1885. }

(Signed), DIXIE WATSON, Clerk.

CANADA,
North-West Territories. } THE QUEEN vs. LOUIS RIEL.

On trial under sub-section 5 of section 76 of the North-West Territories' Act of 1880, before their honors Hugh Richardson, S. M., and Henry LeJeune, J. P., and a jury of six.

I, Charles Fitzpatrick, of the city of Quebec, one of the counsel of the above-named Louis Riel, make oath and say .

1. I was retained for the defence of the said Louis Riel in the month of June last passed, and immediately thereafter put myself in communication with my said client and others, with the view of obtaining such information as would enable me to set up such defence as in the interest of my said client would be most beneficial.

2. Owing to the distance of Quebec from my client, it was not until the 29th day of the said month of June I was instructed by the accused, and then only partially.

3. Since the receipt of the said instruction, I have been diligently endeavoring to obtain the attendance of the witnesses for the accused, but as he, the accused, is a man of little or no means, and had to raise funds for his defence through his friends in the Province of Quebec, it was an utter impossibility to obtain their attendance in time for his trial.

4. I have been instructed since my arrival in Regina, that the requisite funds have