er six days.* I have so much confidence in the independence of your paper, and the fearlessiness of your mind, that I trust, whether it accords with your own sentiments or not, you will afford me an opportunity of combating, by anticipation, those remarks of a contrary tendency which I have good reason to believe are in contemplation to be made on this subject.

July 1821.

## Mr. Editor,

The animadversions of Philo, upon the expression of your opinion on the subject of Sunday excursions, show so little of the true spirit of Christianity, in the garb of which alas! too many fanatics array themselves, that in endeavouring to refute his assertions, I can not forbear from supposing that he is one of those who "love the uppermost seats in the synagogues, and greetings in the markets ;" and "to pray standing in the synagogues and in the corners of the' streets that they may be seen of men;" nor can I forbear from recommending to him a greater portion of meekness, charity, and loving-kindness in reproving those whom he fancies are wandering in error. To attribute impiety, profanation, and the outraging of divine authority, to the maintenance of an opinion on a ceremonial subject (for the most zealous puritan can never make the observance of Sunday more than a ceremonial of religion, $t$ ) evinces too much of that

[^0]
[^0]:    -1 huve known a lamentable instance of perversion of intellect of this nature. A man who persuaded himself and others around him that he was in the way of salvation, being one of the converted, or eloct, having seduced a young female, whom he decoyed from her friends, alved his conscience by abstaining from indulging his carnal appetite on Sunday night, leoking upon his seifdenisl so meriotioss as to wipe sway all the indalgences of the preceding week. It muat be confessed that auch a penance, (considering the exquisite beauty of the fady, from whom 1 got the anee. dote) must be as severe at almont any mortification of the flesh that any Catholic priest could enjoin to his peniteat.
    L. L. M.

    4I do not egree with my correspundent in this point; I consider that

