

*Investment Canada Act*

which is contrary to the purpose of the Bill as agreed to at second reading.

25. Motion No. 38 was grouped with motion No. 34 and others.

26. Motion No. 39 would appear to exclude non-Canadians from directly acquiring certain Canadian businesses and goes beyond the scope of the Bill.

27. Motion No. 40 would oblige non-Canadian owners of Canadian businesses to offer them for sale under fixed conditions and this was not foreseen in the Bill as adopted at second reading.

28. Motion No. 41 should be debated and voted on separately.

29. Motions Nos. 42 to 49 inclusive are similar efforts to introduce into the Bill types of investments that would require review. This was not foreseen when the Bill was agreed to at second reading. Motion No. 51 is consequential on motions Nos. 42 to 49 and falls into the same category.

● (1110)

30. Motions Nos. 50, 52 and 53 are in order and should be grouped for debate. However, a vote on motion No. 50 will dispose of motion No. 52. Motion No. 53 will be voted on separately.

31. Motion No. 54 has been grouped with motion No. 33 and others.

32. Motions Nos. 55 to 61 inclusive appear to introduce new factors into Clause 20 which will affect how net benefits are determined and are therefore beyond the scope of the clause.

33. Motion No. 62 was grouped with motion No. 8 and others.

34. Motion No. 64 appears to add to the scope and purpose of the Bill and infringes on the Royal Recommendation. It also appears to do indirectly what cannot be done directly, that is, amend the definition clause.

36. Motions Nos. 65 and 66 were grouped with motion No. 33 and others.

37. Motion No. 67 was grouped with motions Nos. 27 and 30.

38. Motions Nos. 68, 70 and 72 should be grouped for debate. Motion No. 68 will be voted on separately and a vote on motion No. 70 will dispose of motion No. 72.

39. Motion No. 69 was grouped with motion No. 8 and others.

40. Motion No. 70 was grouped with motions Nos. 68 and 72.

41. Motions Nos. 71, 72, 73, 74 and 75 were grouped earlier by the Chair.

42. Motions Nos. 76, 76A, 77, 77A, 77B and 77C are to be debated and voted on separately.

43. Motions Nos. 78 and 79 give the Chair some difficulty in what the Hon. Member for Winnipeg-Fort Garry (Mr.

Axworthy) is trying to achieve. If the motions have, as their purpose, the expansion of the scope of the Agency, then for obvious reasons, they are out of order. I will, however, ask the Hon. Member to explain their purpose before making a final decision as to their acceptability.

44. Motion No. 80 attempts to provide regulatory power that is not contemplated in the Bill, is novel, and new to the Bill and should not be proposed to the House.

45. Motion No. 81 should be debated and voted on separately.

46. Motions Nos. 82 to 88 inclusive appear to be directly related. Motion No. 82 attempts to give to a committee of the Senate or the House of Commons authority which was clearly not contemplated in the Bill prior to its adoption at second reading stage. Therefore, it should not be proposed to the House. Motion No. 83 is contrary to the contents of the clause and to the principle of the Bill as adopted at second reading. As motions Nos. 84 to 88 inclusive are consequential to this motion, they should not be proposed to the House.

47. Motion No. 89 should be debated and voted on separately.

48. Motions Nos. 90 and 91 are interrelated—a multiplicity of reports. Motion No. 91 appears to place an additional charge on the Treasury and thus involves expenditures not foreseen in the Royal Recommendation attached to the Bill and should not be proposed to the House. As motion No. 90 is consequential to motion No. 91, it would also appear to be out of order.

49. Motions Nos. 92 and 93 were grouped with motion No. 33 and others.

50. Motion No. 94 attempts to delete all references in the Bill to the Minister and replace them with Governor-in-Council or body designated by the Governor-in-Council except in the interpretation clause, namely Clause 3. In the opinion of the Chair, this is inconsistent with the interpretation clause and goes against the principle of the Bill as agreed to by the House at the second reading stage.

It is my understanding from the remarks of the Hon. President of the Privy Council, the Government House Leader (Mr. Hnatyshyn), that this Bill will not be called again until Thursday of this week. It would be the Chair's intention, when the Order is called at eleven o'clock a.m. on Thursday next, to give Hon. Members an opportunity to speak to the procedural regularity of the motions on which I have expressed my reservations.

● (1115)

For the benefit of Hon. Members, these are Motions Nos. 3, 5, 13, 21, 22, 26, 28, 29, 33, 37, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 71, 73, 78, 79, 80, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93 and 94.

For the moment, I suggest that debate begin on the Bill at the report stage on Motions Nos. 1 and 2.