

Mr. DUFF: The hon. member has given us the full details of the expenditure of 1921-22. Would he be good enough to keep right on and give us the details for this year?

Mr. GUTHRIE: That is what I am trying to find out.

Mr. DUFF: Has the hon. member the details?

Mr. GUTHRIE: No, I have not.

Mr. DUFF: The hon. member has those of last year, wherever he got them. Will he tell us where he got those details from?

Mr. GUTHRIE: I had them in the House last year. I was questioned on them.

Mr. DUFF: Not those details.

Mr. GUTHRIE: If I was asked questions on them, I certainly had them before me, because this is the memorandum that I presented to the House last year when my estimates were before the House.

Mr. DUFF: Does it not belong to the department, and not to the hon. member? What right has the hon. member to that memorandum? It belongs to the department.

Mr. GUTHRIE: I have a right to it, and there is the duplicate of it in the department. I think there is a duplicate of it at this moment in the desk of the minister. If there is not, there should be. I want to know what is going to be done with the money for the current year. There is a lump item of \$900,000, and not a single detail of what they are going to do with it. The least the minister could do would be to give to the committee some idea of what the expenditure is going to be. He must know how that item of \$900,000 is made up. It will not take more than a minute or two to give it to the committee, and the committee is entitled to the information.

Mr. GRAHAM: I made the explanation a moment ago. It was all very well for my hon. friend last year to have the estimate of what he was going to do, because he was carrying on the Air Board just as it was before. All he had to do was to sit down and make out the estimates in detail. He knows, and the committee, knows that, on account of our reorganization, which I hoped would have taken place some weeks ago, but which has been delayed, it is impossible to sit down and make

out those details, because we have not got into our new organization, we have not our departments together. The Air Board itself is not in a position to sit down and make out all those details, because it does not know whether it will be operated. Let me point this out to my hon. friend. I could give him some figures in connection with stations, not details, because, under present conditions, it is impossible to make out the details for the coming year under present conditions. We propose to keep the estimates within \$1,000,000 and to give the essential services.

Mr. GUTHRIE: Will that include the work which the Air Board is going to do for other departments?

Mr. GRAHAM: That will depend whether I can get any money out of the other departments or not. The figures to which I referred are; in round numbers:

Headquarters.. . . .	\$32,440
Vancouver air station.. . . .	81,560
High river air station.. . . .	82,000
Victoria beach air station.. . . .	90,000
Parry Sound and Whitney unit.. . . .	31,810
Ottawa air station.. . . .	49,000
Ottawa photographic section.. . . .	21,000
Aeroplane and engine repair depot.. . . .	38,000
Roberval air station.. . . .	35,000
Dartmouth air station.. . . .	9,000

Mr. SUTHERLAND: The minister has let us have some light on some things that have been a mystery to us for some time as regards the merging of the three departments of Militia, Naval Service and the Air Force. He intimates to the committee now that, as regards the Air Force, the essential features are to be retained, which I assume to be the commercial or civil aviation features. As regards national defence, the Air Force is going to cease to exist entirely. The training at Camp Borden, the minister has informed the committee, is to be discontinued.

Mr. GRAHAM: No, I did not.

Mr. SUTHERLAND: Or, that he hoped to have it reinstated at a later date.

Mr. GRAHAM: I did not say it was to be discontinued.

Mr. SUTHERLAND: Then I misunderstood the minister. The Air Service is one that, I am afraid, the majority of people are inclined to underestimate. At the conference which took place at Washington some time ago between the great powers, one might have observed how readily the disarmament proposals which were made were being accepted by some of those countries, and at the same time the information