

the fact that Mrs. Gibson always expressed herself pleased and satisfied with Mr. Roe's visits; that she made such progress in understanding the great doctrines of the Bible, and was so far led to embrace the offer of salvation through Christ, that she, together with her husband, received (for the first time in their lives) the Holy Communion, at the hands of Mr. Roe, on the 1st January, 1859.

We submit, then, that from the foregoing it is manifest to us that Mr. Roe really never did put to Mrs. Gibson the alleged questions, either in the form or spirit in which it is asserted that he did; but that some misconception of the instruction which he intended to convey to her has been occasioned by her ignorance, and by her mind having never before been awakened to the importance of religion;—a view of the case which Gibson himself admitted to be correct, when an explanation on the subject recently took place between him and Mr. Roe, in the presence of Mr. Housman.

The only ground upon which we imagine the present charge can have been founded (and which substantiates the opinion just expressed,) is the explanation which Mr. Roe remembers to have once given of St. Matthew v. 21, &c. Upon that occasion, Mr. Roe explained the extent and spirituality of the Divine Law, and how we are all violators of each one of the ten commandments—a fact which Mrs. Gibson seemed reluctant to acknowledge,