427022-1

turn de Northead De

Sir,-

in further reference to prosecutions for manufacture to Indians, and note your observation that is the temporal wishes to curb the traffic it will have to enem to the manufacture assurance to Agents and constalled in the mass fighting appeals than is indicated in the tolerous of the fulltime.

In reply I beg to say that the Tenarthere fore we fully understand just why it was considered sees says to the observation. In the first place there is no pustion to the Department's desire to surb the liquer tradite account indians and in the second place it toos not appear that the Department has ever failed to give the necessary assistance the case of appeals when our igents have called attention such appeals and recommended the employment of council to o them.

With respect to the proposed amendment to the fact. I beg to say that "intoxicants" as defined in the Act very comprehensive and it does not appear how it sould very be made more so, as it includes any intoxicating "fluid" "i or "substance". The fact that the Prohibition Act of the Province may permit the sale of a beer which does not contained than two per cent. proof spirits does not in any way a the prohibition contained in the Indian Act.

It appears from your correspondance that this is now taken in some municipalities, but that in others this is disregarded. The Department is taking this matter up with Attorney-General of the Province and will advise you as the outcome.

Your letter of the 1st instant has just been recei

Your obedient servant,

Asst. Deputy and Secretary.

A. Megraw, Esq., Inspector of Indian Agencies, Vernon, B.C.

> Indian Affairs. Letterbook, 21 October 1918 - 9 November 1918, (R.G. 10, Volume 5737)

Copy

PUBLIC ARCHIVES ARCHIVES PUBLIQUES GANADA

DAM.