fathers; and

nn Ecclesian cuspaper.

RUTH.—In the of the alleged crist:—'The none of the lof affering horrible and

d upheld by sel our very us and blas. ow mortals, vividly the The Priesury in reality a atonement ald laugh to sover a creaday school, it is a lie of not daily as as, and then elf." Again

f many."-

dy of Jesus

an council, igion, howg even the se to which hod and the ce. revealed and fully; ience of his Christ, his membrance ledge of the before his initedly eat be broken,

Eucharist See No. for and his Blood to be shed for the whole world. That thus, through the partaking of bread and wine which sustain and heal our physical trame, we may ever be reminded of the grand moral truths exhibited by the death of the Saviour, which, when known, believed, and kept in remembrance, sustain and purity our spiritual frame, and nourish the soul for immortality and eternal life. Any two or more christian believers may thus, even in the absence of the faithful preacher of the cross, worthily observe this ordinance of Christ in their own dwellings, under the open can prove the deaven, or in a place of public worship, and may richly realise its moral benefits and the high favor of the Lord and Master of the teast.—The blasphemous and abominable mummary with which Priesteratt has surrounded this simple and significant rite has no countenance whatever from the Word of God.

Common Sense Trying a Priestly Fraud.

In May, 1844, a Mr. Frost who had been for ten years a Roman Catholic Priest in Dublin, publicly renounced the Romish faith and became a Protestant. He had long believed and taught the monstrous absurdity, that the bread and wine used at the mass after passing under the ceremony of consecration as it scalled, was turned into the real flesh and blood of Christ! By reading the New Testament, however, and by calm reflection upon the teachings of the Apostles, he began to doubt the popular dogma; but, before giving it up, he determined to test the thing by the senses which God had given him, in common with other men.

We learn then that some time after when he "was officiating as a Roman priest, he had the curiosity to break and crush the consecrated waser to see if there was any change in its substance; and perceiving that it was exactly the same as before consecration, he consequently abandoned the doctrine of transubstantiation; and at length came out and separated for ever from Papat Babylon."

The testimony of any of our five sen es, is more to be trusted than the testimony of all the prests in the world. This reminds us of a certain nobleman who once invited a Romish priest to d ne with him, and while sitting over the wine after dinner, his for Iship enquired whether he had seen a very beautiful horse which he had been presented to him as a gift? The Priest replied that he had not. His lord-hip taking up the cork of a butle said, there-there it is!-see his elegant figure-his noble gait! The Priest confounded supposed his noble friend was getting deranged in his mind, remarked, sir, that is only a cork replied that it was his horse. The Prest endeavoured to reison with him and appealed to his senses, -his sense of seeing, and feeling that it was only a cork! The nobleman then, with the deepest gravity replied, sir, you are right: You appeal to my senses to prove that a cork is not a horse? I appeal to yours, that the bread and wine in the mass, after the ceremony of consecration, is bread and wine still. The Priest was silent, ashamed, convicted.

It is worthy of remark, that the Saviour and his Apostles when claiming the belief of the multitude in their testimony, always appealed to the evidence they presented. Jesus said, "if ye believe not me believe the