

precaution which takes place from time to time. I would think Hon. Members would applaud the RCMP for being on the job and doing a good job.

Some Hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Hon. Herb Gray (Windsor West): Mr. Speaker, I rise on the same question of privilege. I would like to make a very brief comment in support of my colleague's question of privilege. I submit that the issue of what can be defined as a parliamentary precinct is not relevant in this case. If the precedent is that the matter of access by a Member of Parliament to the parliamentary precinct arises only when the Member is in the precinct, the whole thing does not make any sense. Surely the point in question is relevant to the extent that the Member is not permitted to reach the parliamentary precincts. If it is clear that the Hon. Member, whether he was on foot or travelling in a bus or a car, was not permitted to reach the parliamentary precincts, then I submit there has been a breach of privilege.

Some Hon. Members: Oh, oh!

An Hon. Member: So much for your credibility.

Mr. Gray (Windsor West): I think that the Member, in trying to reach the parliamentary precincts, should have the right to choose his means of going there. It is not the business of the guards of the Prime Minister (Mr. Mulroney) to say that a Member cannot come to Parliament unless he goes there in the way they choose. This system is not based on the concept that Members should walk so that the Prime Minister (Mr. Mulroney) may ride in his big limousine.

• (1510)

Some Hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I have examined the precedents because similar matters have indeed, as the Hon. Member indicated, been raised in the past. There might some day in some way be an issue relating to whether a matter is on the Hill or within the precincts, but I have examined the circumstances and requested a full report from the RCMP and the Sergeant-at-Arms. I have examined all the facts of the matter that the Hon. Member has put before me in exactly the same way that Speaker Sauvé dealt with the case presented to her by the Hon. Member for Annapolis Valley—Hants (Mr. Nowlan). My reaction in this situation is to find that in the circumstances presented to me I cannot find a *prima facie* case of privilege. However, as the Hon. Member would expect, these matters and the relationship of the green buses and Members to the precincts and exits to the Hill will again be discussed with the RCMP thoroughly.

Tabling of Documents

PRIVILEGE

ALTERATION IN HANSARD

Mr. Speaker: On a second and separate question of privilege, the Hon. Member for Winnipeg—Fort Garry (Mr. Axworthy).

Hon. Lloyd Axworthy (Winnipeg—Fort Garry): Mr. Speaker, I want to raise a very serious matter of privilege related to a statement at page 13902 of yesterday's *Hansard* by the Secretary of State for External Affairs (Mr. Clark). There is a major variance between what is recorded in the written *Hansard* and the electronic *Hansard* which fundamentally changes the meaning of the statement he made. It was a matter of some dispute at that time. He was making allegations that were properly challenged, he withdrew them, and what he said has been altered in *Hansard*. I was very careful to check the electronic *Hansard*. I realize one can alter the "blues" to correct syntax or grammatical errors, but not to fundamentally alter the meaning of a sentence. That has been done in this case.

I submit, Mr. Speaker, that you carefully examine what is written in *Hansard* and compare it with the electronic version and, when you see that there has been a substantial alteration, ask to have yesterday's *Hansard* withdrawn and the proper correction made so that it properly reflects the record of this House.

Mr. Speaker: The Hon. Member gave me proper notice. I have not come to a conclusion on this matter and I intend to reserve. The Hon. Member will know that, at least to this point, the official record of the House of Commons has been the officially published *Hansard*. He is asking the Chair to consider a very significant matter and I intend therefore to reserve and consider it quite seriously.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[Translation]

CANADA PENSION PLAN AND FEDERAL COURT ACT

TABLING OF DRAFT AMENDMENTS

Hon. Jake Epp (Minister of National Health and Welfare): Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 67(2), I have the honour of tabling, in both official languages, copies of the document entitled "Draft Amendments to the Canada Pension Plan and the Federal Court Act".