

me. I do not say that of members of the house. I emphasize that the communists have invaded every organization and every political party in this country and in every other country. If anybody doubts that, then I will say there were only twelve disciples and one of them was a Judas. However, all "fellow travellers" have consistently worked to undermine British prestige, authority and loyalty everywhere for the benefit of Wall street, Marx and Moscow.

But the truth is out.

An hon. MEMBER: Get on with the Jews.

Mr. JAQUES: And the less interruptions I have, the sooner I will get through. The truth is out. The white paper and note recently issued by the British government state that the Zionist terrorist outrages leading up to the David hotel bombing were not the acts of a few fanatics, but the deliberate policy of the responsible Jewish agency. There I quote the British white paper. British opinion is turning.

Let me quote from *News of the World*, which claims to be a paper with the greatest circulation in the world, and it cannot be accused of being Tory, or anything of that kind. In its issue of July 28, it says this:

The truth is out; the same truth that this paper has been printing for two years. A government white paper has demolished the popular editorial view that Zionist terrorism was the "misguided action of a few fanatics." It has proved the responsibility of a Zionist army, conscripted, trained and equipped by leaders of the Jewish agency.

Their formal expression of regret after the outrage in Jerusalem was but a mockery of the men who were killed. The underlings are behind barbed wire; but, what of the bosses? . . .

This paper can do no more than state once again the truth: Zionism is a political movement, and the leaders have as little compassion for the too-old-to-fight Jewish wrecks of Europe as concern for the Englishmen they kill. Their policy has had one—and only one—aim since Balfour was duped in 1917: the creation of a Palestinian Jewish state. No other country than Palestine was acceptable, for their state had to straddle the narrow bridge between east and west and be in a position to change at will the balance of power—in fact, to dominate the world.

A year ago the C.C.F. claimed that the victory of the British Labour party was a victory for the C.C.F. I am not denying that; I am not disputing that at all. But now they denounce the British foreign policy as anti-Semitic and imperialistic. Let me quote from the *Montreal Gazette* of July 24, 1946:

Speaking to a large group of Montreal Jews recently Mr. Alistair Stewart, C.C.F. member for Winnipeg North said, "Many people think the British Labour party is allied with the C.C.F. party in Canada but that is not so.

[Mr. Jaques.]

There may be some similarity as to certain points, but the C.C.F. could never ally itself with a government that had broken a promise such as that to the Jews in Palestine." Politics of this sort, he said, were not within the spirit of socialism.

Urging the immediate entrance of 100,000 Jews into Palestine, Mr. Stewart ridiculed the idea that such immigration would lead to an Arab revolt.

Mr. McIVOR: I rise to a point of order. I can stand a lot of listening, for a long time. But I refuse now to listen to a speech that is being read. The hon. member must deliver his material without reading it.

Mr. JAQUES: I am reading a quotation from the *Montreal Standard*. But may I say this, that if members are willing to listen to one side of the story, why are they not willing to listen to the other side? We have heard the other side of this story, and it is about time this side was heard.

Mr. McIVOR: I do not mind if a man has something to say on a subject, and says it.

Mr. JAQUES: That is my job. That is up to me. The hon. member for Winnipeg North went on to say that he urged the immediate entry of 100,000 Jews into Palestine, and ridiculed the idea that such immigration could lead to an Arab revolt; the Arabs could never organize any resistance which could not be easily overcome.

But suppose the Arabs do revolt, and the revolt leads to a general uprising of the Moslem world, which it probably would do; what then? Will the hon. member for Winnipeg North, or will the C.C.F. leaders tell the Canadian people whom they would expect to put down the revolt? Would we expect Canadians to fight another war? If these Zionists declare war on Great Britain, as they have threatened to do, will the C.C.F., or will the hon. member for Fort William, tell us whether Canada would support the Zionists, or whether we would support the British? That is a nice question, and it is one which may have to be decided by the people of Canada before we are much older.

The real battle for the control of Palestine, the key to world control, will not be decided in the Holy land, but in the banks, presidential anti-rooms, and lobbies of parliament and congress. It is significant that two similar threats have been made against the British people by Wall street. The first threat was that, unless Bretton Woods proposals were accepted, there would be no United States loan. May I remind hon. members in the Conservative party that Viscount Bennett, as he is now known, voted against the Bretton