

action not already disposed of by the Divisional Court, on the High Court scale, and by dismissing the appeal and cross-appeal with the costs appropriate to each.

MEREDITH, J.A., gave reasons in writing for the same conclusions.

MOSS, C.J.O., GARROW and MACLAREN, J.J.A., concurred.

---

FEBRUARY 23RD, 1906.

C.A.

UYLAKI v. DAWSON.

GYORGY v. DAWSON.

*Master and Servant—Injury to Servant—Negligence of Master—Duty to Servant—Defective Appliances—New Trial.*

Appeals by defendants from orders of a Divisional Court, 6 O. W. R. 569, directing new trials of 3 actions.

W. R. Riddell, K.C., for defendants.

F. W. Griffiths, Niagara Falls, for plaintiffs.

THE COURT (MOSS, C.J.O., OSLER and GARROW, J.J.A., MAGEE and MABEE, J.J.), dismissed the appeals with costs, agreeing with the opinion of ANGLIN and CLUTE, J.J., in the Court below.

---

TEETZEL, J.

FEBRUARY 24TH, 1906.

CHAMBERS.

REX EX REL. MARTIN v. MOIR.

*Municipal Elections—Election of Alderman for City—Property Qualification—Tenancy of House—Value—Assessment Roll—Yearly Tenant—Indefinite Term.*

Appeal by defendant from order of acting Judge of County Court of Essex, in a quo warranto proceeding, setting aside the appellant's election as alderman for the city of