the ocean by the continued operations of rivers and breakers * In speaking of the elevation of the sea-level, I only refer to the intervals between those movements of the land which might neutralize in an instant all that had been effected by the operation of rivers for immense periods of time.

It would add very much to the interest of this inquiry if any proof could be brought forward of a recent gradual upward movement of the sea-level. This would, however, be difficult to observe,† on account of the rise in the water concealing the evidence of its former level, except just at the mouths of rivers, where the deposits of fluviatile alluvium might raise the land from time to time and keep it always above the rising waters.

The deposits situated at a few such localities have been described by the best observers, and I hope to show that in several cases there are appearances which might be partly explained by changes of the sea-level, but that a much greater number of cases and more certain evidence would be needed before such an event could be satisfactorily proved. I propose to make some remarks upon this point, after having submitted the evidence which has induced me to believe that the supply of detritus under present physical conditions is sufficient to raise the ocean level 3 or 4 inches in 10,000 years, provided no subsidence or elevation disturbed the result.

To this subject I now proceed. Sir Charles Lyell's published statements of the quantity of mud annually carried down by the Mississippi and Ganges appear to have been made with so much care, that they may be a better guide to the general rate of removal of soil by rivers than information obtained from a greater number of smaller rivers, which of course are more likely to be influenced by local circumstances. Eleven hundred thousand square miles of land are drained by the Mississippi,‡ which annually discharges a quantity of water equal in volume to 4 inches of rain or about one tenth of the total rain-fall over this entire surface, which forms one-fifth part of North America.§ From the mean of a great number of observations, the average quantity of alluvium suspended in the water appears to be 1 part in 3000. Consequently, as the water annually drawn off would cover an area of eleven hundred thousand square miles to the depth of four inches, the quantity of mud removed in the water, as measured at or near the mouth of the river) would cover the same extensive surface to the depth of 1-3000th part of four inches, or to the depth of 1-9000th part of a foot. Or, in other words, the Mississippi at its present rate would occupy 9000 years in carrying away detritus before the mean surface level of one-fifth part of North America would be reduced one foot.

The Ganges discharges into the Indian Ocean a supply of water equal to about six inches of rain on 400,000 square miles, or a much greater volume of water than the Mississippi pours into the Gulf of Mexico, taking into consideration the difference in size of the countries they drain.

The alluvium suspended in the waters of the Ganges is as 1 to 858 by weight; consequently the detrital matter removed in suspension by the water in one year would cover the land from which it is derived to the depth of 1-1751 of a foot; that is to say, the Ganges might pour out muddy water at its present rate for 1751 years before the mean level of 400,000 square miles would be reduced one foot in height. The great elevation of the Himalaya range, or possibly a greater rain-fall, may probably occasion the difference between the rates of denudation indicated by the Ganges and the Mississippi. As there are also parts of the earth's surface drained by rivers flowing into lakes and inland seas, and other tracts are entirely without rain, I propose to estimate (as before mentioned) that only half the land contributes detritus in suspension to rivers flowing directly into the sea.‖ If this area be annually reduced in level at the same rate as the district through which the Mississippi flows, then the mean level of the land on the globe, would be reduced 3 feet in 54,000 years, and consequently the level of the ocean raised 1 foot in the same period by means of the detritus suspended in river-water poured into the ocean.¶

But in addition to the sediment carried down by means of rivers, we have also to take into consideration the amount of debris washed into the sea from cliffs during so long a period as that mentioned. It is difficult, however, to form any estimate of what this would annually amount to, for old maps and charts are hardly accurate enough to represent the waste of cliffs by breaker-action even within the last 100 years. Capt. Washington has, however, published a report** which gives an account of the encroachment of the sea at intervals on one part of the Suffolk coast. This will give a general idea of the contribution of detritus that may be obtained from some points of a coast-line. The following statements are collected from Capt. Washington's Report on Harwich Harbor in 1844.

The cliff on the western side of the harbor is about 1 mile long and 40 feet high, and the encroachment of the sea appears to have been at the rate of 1 foot per annum between the years 1709 and 1756, so that the annual supply of detritus was equal to 40 cubic feet for each foot of frontage. Between 1756 and 1804 the advance increased to nearly 2 feet per annum; so that the annual removal of cliff amounted to nearly 80 cubic feet for each foot of frontage.

Between 1804 and 1844 the encroachment of the sea averaged 10 feet per annum, and the annual removal of detritus must have amounted to 400 cubic feet for each foot of frontage. It was during this latter period that extensive dredging for cement stone took place at the base of the cliff.

On the eastern side of the harbor events of an opposite character have occurred, for Landguard Point has gained 50 feet per annum in length during the last 30 years. The addition thus made to the land, and to the "littoral zone," presents an interesting example of the rapid accumulation of a local deposit under favourable circumstances. From the appearance

* "It is not necessary that the present land should be worn away and wasted exactly in proportion as new land shall appear; or conversely, that an equal production of new land should be produced as the old is made to disappear." (Hutton's Theory of the Earth, 1795, vol. i, p. 196.)

† See Darwin, Coral Reefs, &c. edit. 1851, p. 95.

‡ See art. Mississippi, Penny Cyclopædia, vol. xxv, p. 277.

§ The total rain-fall of the United States is 30 inches between 24½° and 45° N. lat. (Berghaus and Johnston.)

‖ The proportion of land without rain is about 1-1200th of the whole. Keith and Johnston say that nearly one-half the drainage-water of Europe and Asia falls into the Black and Caspian Seas. The proportion for Africa and America is not known.

¶ It is not improbable that the *solvent* powers of rain and river-water are as important agents in the removal of land as the agency above mentioned. Definite calculations on this subject remain to be made.

** Tidal Harbors' Commission, First Report of 1845.