Happy New Year.

Though 'tis not the time of roses, and the weary land reposes

Underneath no golden glory and no shade of fronded palm:

Tho' the earth has lost her brightness, save for robes of snowy whiteness. And the wild winds whistle coldly in

the place of summer calm; Yet a power divine attending, and a love supreme befriending,

Fill the heart with sunlit gladness and with summer all the time:

There's no lack of light and beauty on the path of faith and duty.

For a life to God devoted is forever in its prime.

So we cross the mystic portal, for a hand benign, immortal,

Takes our own and leads us onward to the unknown nights and days:

And an eye that never sleepeth loving vigil o'er us keepeth.

As we tread the New Year's pathway with the song of trust and praise.

As Others See Us.

BY REV. N. BURWASH, S.T.D., LL.D. Chancellor Victoria University.

In a recent number of our able contemporary, the Westminster, we notice a review of the new Methodist Catechism, by the Rev. John Laing, D.D. As might be expected, Dr. Laing's doctrinal stand-point differs in many essential points from ours. Of this we have no reason to complain, nor is it worth while now to revive the controvers as to whether our more emphatic presentation of human duty, or the Westminster emphatic presentation of Divine sovereignty and the work of the Spire, is more perfectly scriptural.

We are also most happy to acknowledge our indebtedness to the grand old Westminster symbol. It is indeed not the first time that we have acurred this debt. More than half a entury ago, the eminent Methodist theologian, Richard Watson, in preparing a catechism for English Methodism, drew largely on the same source, so that many of these definitions are as familiar to us as they are to our Presbyterian brethren.

But on one or two points we think our Calvinistic, or, if he prefers it Augustinian brother, has done us scant justice, and has, we think, unduly magnified the divergence of the two churches. Of course our brother must permit us not to accept the Westminster definition, or doctrine of Divine sovere enty, foreor-dination and election, ins much as we do not believe these to be the true ex-pression or sense of Scri ture. But it does not follow that we ignore a true doctrine of Divine sovereignty as pre-sented in Scripture, nor even a true doctrine of foreordination and election;

doctrine of foreordinatin and election; though we do not regard these as first principles to be taught to children.

Again, in the Westm ster enumeration of the Divine attributes, why are his mercy which "endur th forever," and his love of which it is said "God is love," omitted? The answer will, of course, be that they are included in his goodness. So we have included justice as one of the most esential elements of the Divine holiness in this following many of the ablest neologians.

We make no objection to several other notes in which our standpoint certainly differs from that f the Westminster Catechism. But of two points we may venture to suggest that our critic may not have read mor than sectional headings, when he says that "from the sixth section on, much it taught in detail that

section on, much it taught in detail that is regarded by the church as duty and only deduced from Scripture." In reexamination of the sections we fail to find a single element of Christian duty which can be regarded as resting on the authority of ordinances of the church, or which is not directly taught as a duty in the New Testament. We have simply attempted to apply the Christian ethics of the New Testament to our modern everyday life.

The other point relates to the office and work of the Spirit. The criticism leaves the impression that "the work of the Spirit is ignored," because it does not appear in our experience. the Spirit is ignored," because it does not appear in one particular question—forgetting that in 29, repentance is a grace of the Holy Spirit, in 33, regeneration is the wor of the Holy Spirit, in 37, sanctification as wrought by the Holy Spirit, and that in 72, 73, 74, the work of the Holy Spirit in the church and in the individual belie er is fully defined. Of course it is not so defined as to include an irresistible operation of the Spirit by which one class of men are separated from another. In a section dealing with the practical us of the means of grace, we have thought it sufficient to teach our children to "attend thereto with diligence, preparation and prayer." Surely those who obey this teaching cannot and do not ignore the work of the Spirit. Spirit.

d happy days, 1; om's ways,

stream."

e

MENT.

way;

ow forth thy

O God."

down.

said

y,

ay.

head;

bring,

d,

our Maker.

Little People."

and true. thee, too,

d days;

en thee and

com the otner.