Herald

DEVOTED TO PRONUNCIATION AND AMENDED SPELING.

5th Year.

TORONTO, CANADA, Mar., 1889.

N.º 43.

DUBL* CONSONANTS.

Ther is much diversity of opinion as to dubling consonants or omiting one. In an articl by Miss Rudeboc in Jur. Amer. Orthocpy for Mar. this is pointed out but no rule or remedy is sugested. We read:—

"The Herald drops one consonant in passing, different, grammar, letter, better, intelligent, but retains both in ... correspondent, corret, call, small, notwithstanding the editor's rule to omit useles leters."

Distinction must be made between Amended Sp. merely and a notation giving Pronuncia'n. In first set of words above a singl leter is enuf; in the others, we hav opn o, o, and it is unrith law in present sp. that to indicate broad or opn o and distinguish it from close o, o, two consonants ar to follo. Ther ar many exceptions-ther always ar in Eng. Such seend consonant is not 'useles.' Shud o or any other sign be agreed on for opn o, it wil be useles. Til then, make no change. The fundamental value of a is a, and a cons. may be omited from droll, etc., not from doll, etc.

Some maintain that in passing, etc., the dubl cons. shud be retaind in accented sylabls, the second cons. being the orthoge equivalent for orthoepic accent-mark: as, clipping = clip'in. Such hold that a cons. shud not be dubld where ther is no accent, as in benefited with singl t. A selection on first p. of Herald for Oct, gave speling in comparativ acord with this view.

How this is is not explaind. It is easier

to sound one only; one only is herd, tho the Jurnal editorialy thinks a faint' seend perceptibl. The editor 'takes a hand in,' but arives at questionabl conclusions:

"When a consonant ends an accented sylabl, "When a consonant class an accorded synch, unles the succeeding sylabil be inflectional, we begin the next sylabil (provided the vowel in the next is not alredy preceded by a consonant) with the same consonant. The consonant is faint."

Addle, etc., ar givn as ad'dul, etc,: Mur. givs ad'l. Taking this word as type of its clas, let us examin its history: thru the fonetic stage of our lang, it was speld with but one d. The Ormulum, rith about 1200,

*REVISED SPELING:— OMIT useles leters; not held; we hav no CHANGE d to t, ph to f, gh to f, tch to tsh, if sounded so of a Latin participl.

is an exception of cours, and the only one before 16th cent. Its author was a sp. reformer who proposed indicating short vowels by dubling succeeding cons. in all cases. So, in Or. we find 'addlenn,' just as Jann itt iss addledd' in line 6235. Ælfric, about 1000, says (Enegma in Cod. Exon. 110, i): "Dat her yfle adelan stincep." Singl d lasted til 48th cent; dd began in 16th c.: so that strugl of d with dd lasted thru 16th, 17th, and 18th. The worse survives like much els in our speling.

He then proceeds to teach that a final cons, is held before an inflectional sylable is aded. This is ofn so; but not in selling, a type word of those he givs. It is not always held when infl sylabl begins with the very cons. the word ends with: thus, if actual take by, we hav 'actinuli' (Murray.) He says that but one cons, is herd in such words: granted, but it is not held. N is held in sudden before -css is aded, as also n in openness and t in wholly. Bell has a sign calld a 'holder' put after any leter to sho that it is held, as is done too in music. Let us, pro tem, denote a held sound by small capital: so that these words ar pronounced sud nes, op'nes, hot'i. But n, t, etc., ar orthoepic devices like the accent-mark-not to be dragd into Orthografy any more than certn other marks in Elecution. Orthografy, Orthoepy and Elocution ar quite diferent-diferent in degree, not in kind. "In hummer, robber, drammer, robber, etc., etymology requires no dubl leter, as their roots ar is held? Anser, leavit dubl til a beter way hum, rub, drum, rob, etc. but eas of uter is promulgated. Our German coworkers ance requires it."—Ibid., page 41. hav reacht the same conclusion; that is, if we put proper construction on the words translated last month as 'No dubld consts in same sylabl.' The orthografic sylabication of words above apears to be sudn'nes, opn'nes, hol'li. Like holding is found too tords begining of words especialy if the remainder is stil in use as a separat word. Thus, une se se ri = unnecessary, ill gal = illegal. During N, L, etc., the tung does not leav mouth-roof: if it does, the cons. is dubld, not held. Like held, (or dubld), cons's ar quite a feature in Italian; as immenso, addio. In our word 'innocent,' n is not held; we hav no word 'nocent'-short