setting up that the transaction was a mortgage, alleging that his poverty had, in the meantime, prevented him from enforcing his claim; the court, though inclining to dismiss the bill, directed

Watson v. Munro, 662.

# MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS.

Land was conveyed to the Town Council of Goderich for the purpose of a market place, and the Council considering that the quantity of land was greater than required for that purpose, agreed to grant a portion of it to the Municipal Council of the Counties of Huron and Bruce for the site of a court-house. Upon an information filed to restrain the progeed. ings of the councils-Held, that a corporate body acting as a trustee is as amendable to the jurisdiction of equity as an individual; that any alienation of the land was a breach of trust, and the land should be reconveyed; and if no conveyance had been actually executed, its execution should be restrained.

Attorney General v. Goderich, 402.

#### NOTICE.

1. Constructive notice is insuffici-Ferrass v. McDonald, 310.

2. A lessee of the Canada Company, with a right of purchase, assigned his claim to the plaintiff, and afterant, a bona fide purchaser, without V. C., dissentiente.] notice, who paid part of the purchase money, and registered the deed to himself. The plaintiff omitted to in the purchase of wheat and flour, register the assignment to him. Held, sold one half of his interest to a third that defendant was entitled to hold party, to which the other partner, the land, freed from any claim of the who had supplied all the funds used

### NULLITY.

See " Mortgage," 7.

## PAROL EVIDENCE.

The circumstances under which an issreas to the question of mortgage parol evidence should be admitted to give to an absolute deed the operation of a mortgage between the parties considered and discussed.

Matthews v. Holmes, 1. See also "Specific Performance," 1.

### PARTIES.

Semble-That this court would entertain a bill for the purpose of compelling a sheriff to convey property sold under an execution; but to such a bill the execution debtor whose property has been sold must be made a party.

Witham v. Smith, 203. See also "Conveyance."

### PARTNERSHIP.

One of two partners carried on the business of bill broker on his own account, and in that capacity received from the plaintiff several sums of money, by checks and proceeds of drafts on the plaintiff, as the price of certain promissory notes, and the money was by the broker paid into and used with the partnership funds. ent in any case to postpone a regis- It was afterwards discovered that tered conveyance executed bona fide. these notes had been all forged by the broker, who absconded, and the remaining partner executed a deed of assignment of all the joint effects to trustees for the benefit of all their wards, in fraud of the plaintiff, creditors. Upon a bill filed for that obtained, in his own name, an abso purpose the court held that the plainlute conveyance from the company, tiff had a right to be paid his claim out and conveyed the land to the defend- of the partnership assets. [Spragge,

Wallace v. James, 163.

2. One of several partners, engagea