petore not of an English-speaking Canadian from would west such as myself. The Englisha percipeaking Canadian, for his part, cannot n, and telp but be impressed by the generally eds of xcellent quality of relations between Canadinglish-speaking Canadians and the and French. He knows that as a traveller, tegratitudent or employed person in France the ian livery fact he is Canadian tends to guaranby gloee on the part of French people who are e than ware of his nationality, a degree of friendng of mess, co-operation and even enthusiasm nationat is often denied the citizens of other obsession tries. It has long been common erprodenowledge that it is in the interest of the canadians visiting Europe to make their on awnationality known, and there are few equenEuropean countries in which Canadians ne gloare as well treated as they are in France. These excellent relations find expres-

These excellent relations find exprese ther, sion in Canada too. Many Frenchmen "goldhaye had occasion to appreciate, in various Canadparts of Canada, a real enthusiasm for uses walmost everything French — an enthuintensiasm, it must be admitted, that is someen pritimes observed in the same people who centexhibit a disappointing lack of enthuto massasm for or even understanding of their

French-speaking compatriots. The very the launderstandable anti-French sentiments intributed provoked in many English-speaking Canaquital dians by General de Gaulle's "Vive lees — Québec libre" in 1967 seem for the most that part to have been short-lived. The rechis with markably successful 1977-1978 activities Otta of the Alliance Française in Toronto bear receive witness to the pro-French attitudes of a tild, it with considerable number of English-speaking manife Canadians, who represent almost three-ceeding quarters of the population.

The atmosphere of uncertainty or latent instability is characteristic not of the relations between the two peoples but of the relations between the two governments. This being so, such uncertainties are even more surprising, objectionable and unnecessary. France is evidently uncertain what kind of relations it wishes to have with Canada, especially since Quebec, quite naturally, is trying to develop closer relations with Paris than is Ottawa.

## Ambiguities

e cor

se an

later

f the

scrib

The attitude towards Canada adopted by Valéry Giscard d'Estaing and the French governments formed since the 1974 Presidential elections has been more moderate in tone, and politer, than that of governments under General de Gaulle and Georges Pompidou. It is clear that the equivocal nature of present relations between Canada and France derives primarily from the fact that these apparently normal, or almost normal, relations were

established after a period of open hostility — so some progress has been made. Current ambiguities may escape the notice of the general public but will be of concern to close observers of Franco-Canadian relations. Memories of visits to France by members of the present Quebec government, formed by the Parti Québécois, are still fresh. The reader will recall the problem of René Lévesque, the present Premier of Quebec, and his Legion of Honour decoration; from Ottawa's point of view, it was an insult to Canadian sovereignty.

However, a far more striking example of the ambiguities that still hang over Franco-Canadian relations was provided by the spectacle of the successive trips to Paris, in the months following the 1974 French Presidential elections, of Prime Minister Trudeau of Canada and the Quebec Premier of the day, Henri Bourassa. Of course, Mr Trudeau received an official welcome worthy of a friendly country's head of government, and according to French television he was to be seen walking "arm in arm" with Gaullist Jacques Chirac, his French counterpart at the time. Mr Bourassa, however, received an even warmer welcome, highlighted by his attendance at a meeting of the French Council of Ministers, a rare honour intended to evoke what was tantamount to a family relationship between France and Quebec. And why not? Provided that the special relations between France and Quebec do not hinder the development of special relations between France and Canada.

Nevertheless, the example of Mr Trudeau's and Mr Bourassa's visits to France shows that the lack of clarity that is spoiling official Franco-Canadian relations is largely the result of France's ambiguous attitude towards the "two Canadas". This lack of clarity, of course, is partly due to the ambiguous nature of Canada itself and to the uncertainties that, at least since 1867 - and especially since November 1976, when the Parti Québécois came to power -, have affected Canadian political, social and economic life. The dual nature of Canada, and the potential instability inherent in this duality, combined with the manner in which France chooses to react to it, explain the uncertain, even uneasy, state of Canada's relations with France today.

## Auriol and de Gaulle

Yet such ambiguities have not always typified France-Canada relations, and this implies, of course, that they are not inevitable. Ample proof is given in a particularly interesting article appearing in Official visits emphasized ambiguities in relations