until Milton himself might meet his first-born on the highway, and not recognise it? And must this literary butchery be ork as tolerated, because, forsooth, the victim is the inspired Psalmist? There Why should the heaven-taught bard be misrepresented thus? Psalms Let us rather have the songs of inspiration as God inspired lepartthem, and as nearly as is possible, and consistent with the laws it, but of English versification. God's order of thought is doubtless urches best for his church. If any one thinks he can write better ldened spiritual songs than the sweet singer of Israel, let him do it: of our but let him not dress the savoury meat which God has prepared, perican until all its substance and savour are gone, and then present it public, to us as an imitation of David's Psalms." broadivested names exculge usuof the

The professed object of Dr. W. is to "fit the Psalms for Christian worship." If we allow that the changes he has introduced, render the Psalms more suitable to the New Testament dispensation, he has entirely forgotten the universal spread of Christianity. He has given a very circumscribed locality to the Christian worship for which he would make provision; and Christian would be set against Christian in the use of his songs. One verse from Psalm 20th "for a day of prayer in time of war,"

runs thus :-

orth his

for the

ed with

the fol-

ew pas-

mitted." which

works

tandard

ight not

original.

ther the

lity, but

id them

by the

mutila-

as they

e, hear

oyterian

empted,

matter,

fit the

ope had

he ama-

hame on

is Chris-

of Zion,

ould we

h litera-

sh muse, se Lost, O may the mem'ry of thy name Inspire our armies for the fight! Our foes shall fall and die with shame, Or quit the field with shameful flight.

Another from the 60th Psalm, for "a day of humiliation for disappointment in war:"-

Go with our armies to the fight, Like a confederate God: In vain confederate pow'rs unite Against thy lifted rod.

Now imagine Christian nations at war, as has often been unhappily the case. American and Briton, French and Russian, lift up their voices and present their song to the Eternal, involving a palpable contradiction in their requests. To this Dr. McMaster adverts in the following terms:-"The Psalms, such of them, at least, as he thought worthy of imitation, must be made to speak a language which, according to the reformer of David, the Holy Ghost did not make them speak. Still, however, reformed as David was, he was unfit for the sanctuaries of America. The imitation and hymns of Dr. W. were adapted to the British monarchy; America had become both independent and republican. The reformer must be reformed. Those compositions which superceded the hymns of inspiration, must be adapted to the Christian worship in the United States."

In the Psalms, Israel is introduced as the covenant people of God; their privileges, trials, deliverances, and triumphs, are those of the Church in every age, in every place. Dr. W. has exhibited Britain as the promised land, (Ps. 67); the people as