4. Further to the Law of Treaties I should also like to refer briefly to [illegible] the present intention [illegible] draft articles of the Law of Treaties in the form of a single unified convention. My Delegation is in favour of this proposal since it is our belief [illegible] emerging [illegible] convention from [illegible] many years of effort which [illegible] task of [illegible] Law of Treaties [illegible] contribution [illegible] Commissioner [illegible] impact [illegible]

5. The second matter to which I should like to refer [illegible] in this context is the suggestion [illegible] of Israel when [illegible] Secretariat [illegible] 21st session [illegible] question [illegible] diplomatic conference [illegible] the [illegible] draft convention. It [illegible] opinion [illegible] Canadian [illegible] that the [illegible] is [illegible] off when [illegible] done in [illegible] Law of [illegible] representative [illegible] Secretariat [illegible] 21st session [illegible]

6. Before [illegible] Law of Treaties [illegible] also [illegible] fie[illegible] past years [illegible] Internat[illegible] and understanding [illegible] over [illegible] articles. [illegible] which [illegible] articles on [illegible] phrase [illegible] praise.

7. It [illegible] Chapter V of the International [illegible] Report [illegible] Canadian [illegible] has noted with [illegible] the [illegible] both the [illegible] 65 Report [illegible] the exchange and [illegible] is in [illegible] of [illegible] is provided for [illegible] it supports [illegible] down in [illegible] the