

When we set out to achieve that goal we will need to follow two guidelines.

First, a balance of forces is a necessary objective, but not a sufficient one. For if we reduce our arsenals considerably, to roughly equal strength and then immediately turn around and make the rest of our arms faster, more accurate and more difficult to detect, it will not take long to upset the balance.

Therefore, in this day of rapid technological advance, a mere balance, whether at a high level or moderate level, is inherently unstable. The real attraction in arms control lies not only in achieving a balance but in setting parameters within which balance can be verified and maintained.

Second, since the major source of pressure exercised by the Soviets on the global balance of power derives from their military strength, the West should negotiate arms control and disarmament with single-minded determination. The Soviets threaten us militarily; not culturally, not politically and certainly not economically. Consequently, we should not seek to link non-military objectives with disarmament.