In Transactions of the American Ent. Soc., XXXIV, 67-100, 1908, I published in conjunction with one of my students, P. S. Darlington, a revision of the Helomyzidee. The Eccoptomera americana Darl., therein described is a synonym of E. simplex, described four years earlier by Coquillett from Nevada, and overlooked by us. The genus Siligo, Ald., in the same paper is a synonym of Zagonia, Coq., (Invert. Pacif., 27), and belongs to the Geomyzidæ, as correctly placed by Coquillett. My species oregona appears to be distinct from his flava; my litorea, however, is a Geomyza, differing in the dark body colour and bristles, and narrower cheeks. The genus Geomyza has not heretofore been reported from North America, but I have three species from the California region and a single specimen of a fourth species from Lawrence, Kans. Named European specimens of Geomyza in Professor Melander's collection put me right on this genus. My ignorance of the family Geomyzidæ was responsible for my mistake; there is really a close relation between Helomyzidæ and Geomyzidæ, some of the latter having setules on the costa. The main difference is in the auxiliary vein, distinct in Helomyzidae, not so in Geomyzidæ. I drew the wing of Siligo from a specimen mounted in balsam, wherein the pressure of the cover-glass had separated the auxiliary and first veins to an abnormal extent. It was a consolation to read since the discovery of my error that Loew once described a Geomyza lurida, which on examination of the type turns out to be a Leria (Czerny, Wien. Ent. Zeit., XXII, 126)!

In Biologia Centrali-americana, Diptera, I, 342, I described a genus of Dolichopodidæ under the name *Phylarchus*. Not having the current numbers of the Zoological Record at hand, I did not know that Simon had used the name for a spider in 1888. My fly of course cannot maintain her ground before a spider, hence I propose the genus PROARCHUS to replace *Phylarchus* for the fly.

In some notes on Scellus (Ent. News, XVIII, 135), I stated that I had collected Scellus vigit on trunks of trees. The statement was made from memory, and I now believe that I never collected it except on walls of the University buildings at Moscow, filiferus being the one that frequents pine trunks in this region. Thus my observations correspond entirely with Osten Sacken's.

In CANADIAN ENTOMOLOGIST, XXXVI, 46, I undertook to determine what name should be used in the place of *Psilopus* of authors, which has