

*A. williamsii* Dodge.

1871—Dodge, CAN. ENT., III., 167, f. 34, *Arctia*.

1873—Stretch, Zyg. and Bomb., 74, *Arctia*.

Habitat—Colorado.

(To be continued.)

---

### CORRECTION OF AN ERROR.

BY W. H. EDWARDS, COALBURGH, WEST VA.

Mr. H. J. Elwes has recently published a paper in Trans. Ent. Soc., London, 1889, p. 535, entitled "A Revision of the Genus *Argynnis*," and on page 574 writes as follows:—"I have also specimens of *Arge* Strecker, from Strecker and Mr. Holland, both from Spokane Falls and California, which are, undoubtedly, the same as *Erinna*, which was described in 1883 as a var. of *Eurynome* by Edwards, and in his catalogue of 1884 is put down as a variety of *Montivaga*. If, therefore, he is himself so uncertain of the true position of these forms, he cannot expect others to follow him blindly," etc. On reading this I looked at the catalogue referred to, and sure enough, on p. 30, *Erinna* is set down as a var. of *Montivaga*. How this happened I cannot say, but I suppose by an error of the printer, which was overlooked in correcting the proof. I see the same mistake occurred in the list which closed Vol. 2, Butt. N. A., also dated 1884; but as the list was copied from the catalogue, that is understood. *Erinna* was rightly described by me in 1883 as a var. of *Eurynome*, which, to my mind, it undoubtedly is. Part of my collection is now in possession of Dr. Holland, including *Eurynome* and its vars., and I wrote him to please look at the *Erinna* specimens and tell me what the labels say. I have his reply as follows:—"All the specimens bearing that name are classified in your collection under *Eurynome*, according to your original description. The labels read:

*A. Eurynome.*  
var. *Erinna* Edw.  
Type. Spokane Falls."

I therefore suggest that persons using my catalogue should note the correction, and transfer *Erinna* to *Eurynome* on page 29, following *Arge*. Str,