

Report on Pe-  
tition of Mon-  
treuil Medical  
Board.

their return to the writ of *Mandamus* issued and served upon them.

Montreal, 2d June, 1834.

(Signed,) *Cherrier & Laberge*, Attornies.  
For the said *Arnoldi*, et. al.

We consent.

(Signed,) *Buchanan & Andrews*.

(True Copy.) *Monk & Morrogh*,  
Proth'y.

Province of *Lower Canada*, )  
District of *Montreal*. }

In the King's Bench, Monday, the second day of June, 1834.

PRESENT :

The Honourable Chief Justice *Reid*,  
" Mr. Justice *Pyke*.  
" Mr. Justice *Rolland*.

[1880.]

*Dominus Rex*. } The Court on consent of  
vs. } the parties by their res-  
*Daniel Arnoldi*, et. al. } pective Attornies, orders  
that delay be granted to the said *Daniel Arnoldi*  
and others, until the ninth day of June instant, to  
make their Return to the writ of *Mandamus* issued  
and served upon them.

By the Court,

*Monk & Morrogh*,  
Proth'y.

District of *Montreal*.

Court of King's Bench.

[No. 1880.]

Our Sovereign Lord the King, Plaintiff,  
vs.

*Daniel Arnoldi*, and others, Defendants.

To the said *Daniel Arnoldi*, Esquire, and others,  
Defendants, and to Messieurs *Cherrier* and *La Berge*,  
Advocates and Counsel for the said *Daniel Arnoldi*  
and others in the said cause.

Take notice, that on Tuesday next, the seven-  
teenth day of June instant, as soon as Counsel can  
be heard, we shall move on behalf of *William L. Logie*,  
mentioned in the writ of *Mandamus*, in the  
said cause issued, that the return of the said De-  
fendants to the said writ of *Mandamus* made and  
filed by the Defendants in the said cause, be  
quashed.

1st. Because the said Return does not answer the  
said writ nor deny the facts in the said writ set  
forth and alleged.

2nd. Because the said return is argumentative  
and contains conclusions of law or legal deductions.

3rd. Because the said return is wholly insuffi-  
cient: and that we shall then and there further there-  
upon move that a peremptory writ of *Mandamus*  
do issue, addressed to the Defendants, and their  
successors in office, commanding them to give and  
grant to the said *William L. Logie*, their certificate

as by the said writ of *Mandamus* already issued,  
they were commanded, the whole with costs.

Montreal, 14th June, 1834.

(Signed,) *Buchanan & Andrews*.

(True Copy.)

*Monk & Morrogh*, Proth'y

I the subscribing Bailiff, do hereby certify and  
return, that on the fourteenth day of June, 1834, at  
the hour of four o'clock in the afternoon, I served  
a true copy of this notice, on the within named  
*Cherrier* and *La Berge*, Esquires, by delivering the  
same with the aforesaid *La Berge* at his Office, in  
the City of *Montreal*, and I further certify that on  
the same day and at the same hour, I served a true  
copy of this notice on the within named *Daniel Ar-  
noldi*, Esquire, by leaving the same with and speak-  
ing to himself in person, at his domicile in the City  
of *Montreal*.

[Fees 3s. 9d.]

(Signed,) *Robert Lovell*, B. K. B.

(True Copy.)

*Monk & Morrogh*, Proth'y.

District of *Montreal*, Court of King's Bench,

Our Sovereign Lord the King,  
vs.  
*Daniel Arnoldi*, and others.

Motion on behalf of the said *William L. Logie*,  
named in the writ of *Mandamus* in the said cause  
issued, that the return of the said Defendants, made  
to the writ of *Mandamus* in the said cause issued  
and filed by the said Defendants be quashed.

1st. Because the said return does not answer the  
said Writ, nor deny the facts in the said Writ set  
forth and alleged.

2nd. Because the said return is argumentative,  
and contains conclusions of Law or Legal Deduc-  
tions.

3rd. Because the said Return is wholly insuffi-  
cient.

And motion further thereupon that a peremptory  
Writ of *Mandamus* do issue, addressed to the De-  
fendants and their successors in office, commanding  
them to grant to the said *William L. Logie* their  
certificate, as by the said Writ of *Mandamus* al-  
ready issued, they were commanded.

The whole with costs.

Montreal, 17th June, 1834.

(Signed,) *Buchanan & Andrews*,  
Att'ys for said *W. L. Logie*.

(A true Copy.)

*Monk & Morrogh*, Proth'y.

District of *Montreal*, Court of King's Bench,

The King, vs. *D. Arnoldi*, et. al.

We hereby inscribe the said cause for hearing on  
the motion made in the said cause on the 17th day

Report on Pe-  
tition of Mon-  
treuil Medical  
Board.