

1. Plaintiff had no right to sell the stove in question ;
2. He had no right to go to defendant's locked storehouse, taking the keys and unlocking it, and taking out the stove, alone and without permission. It was against defendant's rules and customs ;

3. He sold the stove secretly, took it out of defendant's warehouse secretly, obtained the keys for the purpose secretly and delivered it secretly ;

4. Plaintiff had ample opportunity of at least reporting the sale, which he did not do, but on the contrary, concealed it ;

5. It was only by chance that defendant learned, not from plaintiff, but from the purchaser, that the sale and delivery had been made ;

6. Unknown to the defendant and secretly, plaintiff went round at night to the purchaser to attempt to collect the price ;

7. When first asked for an explanation of his conduct after defendant, to plaintiff's knowledge, had discovered what plaintiff had done, he gave no explanation, but remained silent, and later in the evening, when asked again, gave as an explanation a matter that was no justifications or explanation, but was even ridiculous ;

8. Plaintiff's failure to return to his work after defendant's discovery of plaintiff's acts and the refusal of plaintiff to come out of his house even to see him when requested, both indicating a guilty mind ;

In a word, defendant knew that plaintiff clandestinely and against defendant's rules and customs took the defendant's property out of the latter's possession, sold it to a friend of him, concealed the whole transaction and attempted secretly to collect the price. I know no shorter, more expressive or accurate name for this transaction than