



—Neil Driscoll photo

I DON'T CARE HOW THEY SPELL IT IN THE JOURNAL . . .—As the first daily Gateway was prepared Monday four of the more photogenic staffers were culled from the hundreds at the print shop to check final page proofs with Wiebe Huisman, composition man there. From left to right the staffers are Bill Miller, managing editor; Sheila Ballard, ace reporter; Don Sellar, editor-in-chief; and Bryan Campbell, sports editor.

Lack of funds forces delay in Student Means Survey

The Canada Student Means Survey is broke.

Another \$50,000 is required to complete the project undertaken more than a year ago by the Canadian Union of Students in an effort to reveal the financial burden on Canada's students.

The results of the survey and preliminary analysis of the university student section of the report will be published Feb. 1 the CUS national office announced Friday.

Several further reports are planned, but these will be delayed until CUS is able to secure funds for their completion.

The original budgeted cost for the statistical gathering was \$32,000 with the government subsidizing CUS to the extent of \$22,000.

U of A students' union officials have been using the impending survey findings to forestall action on fee increases at this university. They contend the survey results will enforce their 'freeze the fees' demand and have asked the university to delay increases until all the relevant facts are available.

The delay and extra expenses are caused by problems in statistical procedure and input programming for the computers at the Dominion Bureau of Statistics which is co-operating with CUS in the survey.

FUND RAISING

The CUS national office in Ottawa has embarked on a fund

raising campaign to acquire the money to complete the compiling and analysis of the survey results.

Patrick Kenniff, CUS national president, says the survey results are urgently needed because of forth-coming federal-provincial conferences on higher education, the establishment of the Association of Universities and Colleges of Canada's student aid committee and imminent action in the educa-

tional field by the new parliament.

The U of A section of the cross-Canada survey was almost sabotaged by the apathetic response of students here. Only one-third of the selected students responded to the initial survey, but the valid sample was finally obtained after a massive propaganda effort.

The complete results of the survey were to be available by June 1, 1965.

UGEQ says McGill still in union

MONTREAL (CUP)—The co-ordinating committee of the Union Generale des Etudiants du Quebec has ruled that McGill is still a member in good standing of the union.

The decision was taken at a recent meeting, and will remain in effect until a second referendum is held at McGill to decide the stormy issue.

A first referendum taken last December showed 53 per cent of those voting were opposed to McGill's membership in UGEQ.

The referendum was later ruled invalid by the McGill students' council, when ballots ran out at one poll an hour before the poll was scheduled to close.

But McGill will not exercise any of its membership rights in UGEQ until the second referendum has decided the issue.

Sharon Sholzberg, president of the McGill council, had this to say about McGill's status in the organization:

"The negative feeling on the campus at the moment does not allow us to participate actively in UGEQ. Until my council is given a mandate to act as responsible members of UGEQ, we will continue in a passive role within the union."

Commenting on the first referendum, Miss Sholzberg said she was disappointed in the results and hoped all McGill students would get out and vote in the next plebiscite, expected to be held next month.

Miss Sholzberg went on to charge that the leaders of the anti-UGEQ forces at McGill were rightists.

"During some speeches I made they held up placards with the picture of Barry Goldwater," she said.

She also claimed there was latent anti-feminism among many of her opposition, "who thought serious thought and debate beyond the ken of a female."

Student cause held neglected

Provost Ryan claims new act should be 'focus' for future

By RALPH MELNYCHUK

University Provost A. A. Ryan expressed concern Monday that student affairs have not received adequate attention in the revision of the University Act.

"There should be a specific section of the Act dealing with students' affairs," he said, "which would act as a focus for further developments."

* * *

He expressed fears that possibly the revisions would pass the provincial legislature without sufficient airing of the points of view by all the parties concerned.

Prof. Ryan addressed students' council Monday. His remarks about the University Act followed a lively debate between himself and Discipline, Interpretation and Enforcement Board chairman Branny Schepanovich regarding the jurisdiction of DIE.

He suggested students' council make strong recommendations to the provincial government regarding the University Act.

"I feel the Deans' Council and the General Faculty Council still have some things to say. By releasing their recommendations all the Governors have really done is thrown the matter open to public discussion," he said.

A special students' affairs section should deal with the incorporation of the students' union as well as the possible future incorporation of any parallel students' association, such as the graduate students' association.

Asked why jurisdiction of the students' council was transferred from the Senate to the General Faculty Council, Prof. Ryan replied that the Senate had disowned student affairs.

The Senate will now become a public forum for the broad discussion of ideas related to the university, he said.

The Governors' committee felt the General Faculty Council was the logical body to handle students' affairs. However, other suggestions are certainly in order, he said.

DIE, Ryan clash over discipline

Students and administration clashed head-on Monday over discipline enforcement in residences.

University Provost A. A. Ryan and Discipline Interpretation and Enforcement Board Chairman Branny Schepanovich tangled in a lively debate at a students' council meeting.

The dispute erupted after DIE's Dec. 9 acquittal of an elected student residence official on the charge of acting against the principles of good conduct and in the best interests of the students. The student was involved in a liquor raid in October.

Schepanovich contended that since the episode occurred on campus and since the charge was laid specifically against the student as a member of the students' union, DIE's ruling was valid.

Professor Ryan contended that the DIE by-laws were worded poorly and might possibly read as giving DIE powers it does not have.

"But the residence is the students' home, and as such DIE has no jurisdiction there," he said. "The Board should have known this."

Prof. Ryan also criticized DIE recommendations for changes in residence government.

Prof. Ryan defended student government in the residence.

"It is not perfect, but it needs time to develop," he said.

Cases of jurisdictional dispute such as this imply irresponsibility and may incline those in authority to look unfavorably on further advances in student self-government, he said.

"Communication with new students is one of my major problems," he said.

"The student handbook was drawn up, not by a students' committee, but by me. I have worked hard in setting up a student government in residence, but I am becoming exasperated," he said.

Council passed a vote of confidence in DIE. Prof. Ryan cast an honorary vote in favor of the motion.