

# THE DILLON DIVORCE CASE.

---

## STATEMENT OF COUNSEL.

---

Mr. R. D. McGibbon, Q.C., Counsel for Petitioner, made the following statement before the Private Bills Committee of the House of Commons, July 11th, 1894 :

Having been counsel for petitioner during all these proceedings, and attorney for him in the separation suit before the Superior Court in Montreal, having been his life-long friend, and legal adviser for the last fifteen years, he felt he could refute some of the contentions of those opposing the granting of the divorce, and afford satisfactory explanations of other points which might, on a cursory perusal of the testimony, appear obscure or unsatisfactory.

### THE SEPARATION IN PARIS.

It had been asserted both in the Senate and House of Commons, that Dillon had wantonly abandoned and deserted his wife in Paris, after they had been married nearly five years.

Now, the facts as established by the testimony are that "there was a mutual separation agreed upon," and he "left her in charge of her father in Paris." (Senate evidence, page 1). "By mutual consent" (page 3).