Company were published in the Canada Gazette on June 7, 1950 and March 15, 1952 and a notice with respect to expropriation of the assets of Cable and Wireless Ltd. appeared in the Canada Gazette on June 7, 1950. Expropriation took effect on those dates.

2. Compensation was made to Canadian Marconi Company Ltd. on the following dates: September 27, 1950, May 4, 1951 and May 1, 1952, and to Cable and Wireless Ltd. on August 28, 1951.

3. Canadian Marconi Company was paid a total of \$2,776,761 and Cable and Wireless Ltd. \$550,000. Expert evaluations of all properties and equipments were made for the Corporation and these formed the basis of negotiations with the two Companies. Canadian Marconi Company Ltd. filed a claim with the Exchequer Court but subsequently withdrew it when negotiations indicated that a mutually satisfactory settlement could be concluded.

SALE TO JAPAN OF IRON ORE CONCENTRATES

Question No. 2,467-Mr. Howard (Skeena):

1. Since January 1, 1969, did Iron Ore Company of Canada Ltd. make any arrangement to deliver or sell iron ore concentrates to Japan and, if so, what amounts of such ore, over what periods of time and at what price?

2. Does the government have any assessment of the number of man-days of employment that would accrue to Canadian workers if this amount of iron ore were processed in Canada into (a) pig iron, and (b) tubular steel?

Hon. Jean-Luc Pepin (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): 1. Yes. As publicly announced by the company, an arrangement has been made whereby the Iron Ore Company of Canada Ltd. will supply Japan with approximately 800,000 tons of iron ore concentrates. Shipments will commence during 1970 and will be half-completed by the end of 1971. Information with respect to the completion and the price of this supply arrangement is not available.

2. (a) Not available; (b) Based on available statistics, our assessment is that 800,000 tons of iron ore concentrates processed and manufactured into some 315,000 tons of tubular steel products could represent approximately 800,000 man-days.

LONG HARBOUR, NFLD.—POLLUTION BY ELECTRIC REDUCTION PLANT

Question No. 2,470-Mrs. MacInnis:

1. Had the Department of Fisheries and Forestry facilities to anticipate the damage done by the

COMMONS DEBATES

Questions

amounts of toxic waste dumped into Long Harbour, Newfoundland, by Electric Reduction Company of Canada?

2. Did the Fisheries' biologists advise action after dead fish were found in December and poisoned herring appeared in February?

3. Why was action not taken to close the plant and stop the fishing before the month of May?

4. Can Long Harbour be reclaimed for fishing?

5. When, and at what estimated cost?

6. Who will pay the bill?

Mr. E. F. Whelan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Fisheries and Forestry): 1. No.

2. No.

3. Because the Department did not have evidence that the plant was at fault prior to that time.

4. Yes.

5. Later this year and at no cost to the Canadian government.

6. The Electric Reduction Company of Canada.

ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES PUBLIC RELATIONS PERSONNEL

Question No. 2,479-Mr. Robinson:

1. Does the Department of Energy, Mines and Resources have a public relations staff and, if so, how many?

2. What was the total cost of the public relations for the Department for each of the years 1960-68 inclusive?

Hon. Otto E. Lang (Minister without Portfolio): 1. Yes, the Department of Energy, Mines and Resources does have a public relations staff. The Public Relations and Information Services Branch services the Department in this capacity. This branch has a total staff of 42, of which 15 work at public information full time. The remaining staff is concerned exclusively with the editing and production of scores of scientific and semi-technical reports, edited for scientific and specialized audiences.

2. Prior to the year 1967 the budget for salaries and other expenditures were part of the departmental administration vote and were not recorded separately. The total cost of operating this branch for the fiscal years 1967-68 and 1968-69 was as follows:

	Expenditure
Fiscal Year	Stands \$ 1 note mil
1967-68	432,000
1968-69	585,000