

7/ shew that there was a desire on my part to ignore him altogether as Rector of the Church. I need hardly say that such an idea never crossed my mind. It would be absurd to suppose it for an instant. The Draft was in accordance with the Form which I am in the habit of using for my ordinary Licences and Inductions. And it will be seen by referring to the Form which was actually used on this occasion, that the Title of Rector was introduced not once merely, but repeatedly.*

If I remember rightly, there was one point which was under deliberation, namely the precise legal Title by which the Rector should be designated, whether as "Rector of Christ Church" or "Rector of Montreal," or "Rector in the Cathedral of Christ Church." The latter was adopted, with the concurrence of all concerned.

In page 33, I think that a little undue credit is taken with regard to a change in the Service, as though that change had been made in order "to conform to the Bishop's Pastoral;" whereas the case was really this—that the Rector, being desirous to shorten the Morning Service, simply availed himself of the liberty expressed in a clause of the said Pastoral, which ran thus; "In ordinary Parish Churches, if it should be desired to shorten the present Morning Service, this may be done by using the Morning Prayer and Communion Office, and reserving the Litany for the afternoon, &c." I expressed no *wish* on the subject, but merely gave a general *permission*.

In the same page, the erection of a new Block of Seats is alluded to. But no mention whatever is made of certain antecedent facts; at which I had naturally

* The designation of "Rector" occurs no less than *five* times in the Service in question, which I myself framed and authorized; viz., three times in the Presentation, once in the words appointed to be used by the Churchwardens, and once in those which the Rector himself was appointed to use.