

ers below, it
for reasons
er of course,
omparing the
water must
the plan, or to
o, the lower
-6—9 above
on abutment
med level of
ent low water
independent
n any shape,
active of no
e lower level
running over
—3—9, sup-
ained at their
igable, under
elves, both of
collateral cut
rapids, or to
Government
ll, and by the
assuming five
the river and
feet, as might
a sufficiency
eet, the object
g, and at one
d substituting
eked up from
s and longitudi-
where between
l cut, and the
of securing a
the other plans

It may probably be argued, and I am aware it has been urged by Mr. Purdy "that the mill will not work up with a less head of water,"—in reply to which it will only be necessary to remark, that the mill, as now in operation, particularly the grit mill, is upon the strictest possible principle, constructed without any regard to economy of water, for as much and wasting more than would drive six individual water-runs, and I have no hesitation in saying that a mill upon principles of economy, and with every regard to economy in construction, can be made to do as much work, if not more than the mill can possibly do, at a head of the head and quantity of water she at present has, vizt. 12—0—5 feet, and fall, and at the same time afford a more revenue than the present rude construction can make to the proprietor.—(In point of course, I do not mean to be understood as referring particularly to the *water-wheel*, the *description of water-wheel* used, and the number of cones, being the cones therewith, and not the arrangement of the other parts of the mill, which are tolerable good.)

To gain even the head which Mr. Purdy, however, does not absolutely necessary to insure the effective operation of the mill, he has had recourse to raising the surface of the mill pond, even above the natural surface of his own land, by the construction of the wing dams d. l. d. b. height about 18 inches above the surface, and I understand, at low water in a wind-swell which attaches to the top of the dam.

I would, therefore, in reviewing the matter, beg leave to submit, for Your Excellency's consideration, my opinion in the following terms:—

That the *total* removal (if such was meant) of the dam at Purdy's Mill must ruin the navigation of the Seengog River and Lake, insomuch as reducing it to its original state as described, merely possible for boats, and that at high water, lay the marshes in the lake entirely dry, which, by exposure to the sun's rays, must, as a matter of course, endanger and injure fever *mosquitoes* to such a degree as would render the country most unhealthily; but that the *total* removal of the present, and substitution of another of less dimensions as to height, so as to afford 5 (say 4-6) feet water in place of 12, as at present over the shallows in the river and outlet of the lake, would *materially* benefit the navigation, insomuch as one dam and lock at point C, on the plan, would carry the navigation from Sturgeon Lake into Seengog by a lift of only 5—0—9, in place of 12—0—5—that the said lift of 5—0—9 would be perfectly sufficient, with a mill on *proper* principles, such as for instance has just been erected on the upper rapids of the Otanabee River, at the outlet of Clear Lake, of only 3 feet of head and fall to serve all and every purpose required—although I think 4 feet of clear head and fall may be obtained, in which case the purposes of the saw mill will be equally served.

On the subject of lowering the water above the present dam I would remark, that if the same is done at the proper season, i.e. in the fall, that little apprehension need be entertained for the *erosion* from hard wood head, such as will be reclaimed in consequence thereof.

at, founded on
dam would be
, in the substi-
r Government
for the rea-
sufficient dep-
ue can be pre-