

has occurred since, was at Washington. Sir Herbert Marler, who was appointed to Tokyo by hon. gentlemen opposite, has remained there and no one has suggested that a change of government should result in a change of ministers. I have not looked upon it that way at all. . . Sir Herbert Marler was appointed by a Liberal administration, but he has continued in office and is discharging his duties to his administration as faithfully as he discharged them to the last administration. (1)

When the King Government resumed office in 1935, Sir Herbert Marler, originally appointed by King, remained at his post until, at his own request, he was transferred and virtually promoted as Minister to Washington in 1936, left vacant with the resignation of Mr. Herridge. By this time Sir Herbert Marler could consider himself, like Mr. Massey, not a political but a career diplomat.

Tokyo being thus vacated, Mr. King thereupon made a new political appointment, selecting the aged and partially blind "grand old man" and Liberal henchman, the Hon. R. Randolph Bruce, wealthy, long retired, who had previously served as Lieutenant-Governor of the Province of British Columbia.

Geneva Post

Apparently the question of retention or termination of services did not arise in the case of the Advisory Officer in Geneva, Dr. W.A. Riddell, with the change of government in 1930 and in 1935. He was regarded as a permanent career officer under the Department of External Affairs. By 1932 he was Dean of the Diplomatic Corps of Permanent Representatives at

(1) Id. p.4202.