

The difference of \$46 is, no doubt  
the payment of 7th May '71 which does  
not appear in your memo. —

You are right as regards Amos on  
Brooks, whose arrears & 1 Aug. '73 are  
\$180. — the \$60 paid by him for W.K.  
Roberts in Sept. last having been  
credited to Brooks in error, owing  
to the crowding together of the names in  
the return. In future when one  
person pays money for another,  
take two lines, and enter the name  
of the person who should have paid  
the money, first, thus: —

Am White

by  
James Wood

Mr. Fizzle should not have permitted  
the payment by Samuel Calbeck to  
Mrs. Cath. Hill, of the Rent on lot 8pt.  
14th A. — The payment should have  
been made through this office — other-  
wise it is quite impossible to keep  
the accounts correctly —

Mr. Calbeck's account now shows  
a debit charge of \$80. —; and in  
order that it may be cleared of that,  
I will thank you to obtain & forward  
to me from Mr. Fizzle, a certificate  
that the Rent to 1 Aug. 1874 has been  
actually paid to Mrs. Cath. Hill.

Thos