

The Canada Law Journal.

VOL XXV.

DECEMBER 31, 1889.

No. 20

WE publish with this number the Index of subjects and tables of cases for the current volume. The Sheet Almanac will be sent with the number to be issued early in January.

A CORRESPONDENT speaks of the triumph of law over evidence, as illustrated by the following incident related in one of the papers in London, Eng. A man was indicted at one of the courts there for some criminal offence. The Grand Jury returned no bill. The Judge, however, thought the evidence so clear and strong, that, on the presumption that some mistake had been made, he sent it again to the Grand Jury with an intimation of his opinion to that effect. The bill shortly came back to him endorsed with the initials of the foreman of the Grand Jury. The Judge took this to be a sign of concurrence with his view, and put the man upon his trial; whereupon the accused immediately pleaded guilty, and was remanded for sentence next day. Soon afterwards the foreman of the Grand Jury came into court, and finding what had been done, informed the Judge that a wrong construction had been put upon his (the foreman's) signature, which had been intended to mean that the Grand Jury adhered to its decision. The Judge thereupon recalled the prisoner, and informed him that the Grand Jury appeared to know more about the matter than either the accused or the Court, and had declared him to be innocent of the offence charged, which made it the legal duty of the Judge to order his discharge, notwithstanding his confession of guilt. The prisoner was discharged accordingly, without, so far as the record goes, being even cautioned not to do it again. We trust, however, the wrench to his nervous system caused by this curious freak on the part of the legal machine will have the desired deterrent effect.

MARRIED WOMEN'S PROPERTY ACT.

A further judicial inroad has been made on the provisions of this Act. It having been determined, *In re Shakespear*, *Dexkin v. Lakin*, 30 Chy.D., 169; *Palliser v. Gurney*, 19 Q.B.D., 519; *Tetley v. Griffith*, 36 W. R., 96; 56 L.T., N.S., 673; *Meager v. Pellew*, 14 Q.B.D., 973; *Beckett v. Tasker*, 19 Q.B.D., 7; *Molson's*