

the terms upon which Farm Credit Corporation loans are made have been substantially improved.

Further measures for the strengthening of the western grains industry include: a poll among producers on rape-seed marketing; the experimental producer election of an advisory committee to the Wheat Board a year ago; a new quota system; the block shipping system; the purchase of 6,000 grain hopper cars; a boxcar repair program; the Mants Report on boxcar allocation procedures; the appointment of port co-ordinators for Thunder Bay and Vancouver; the Snively Commission on the cost of transporting grain by rail, and the Hall Commission on grain handling and transportation. Finally I must mention, of course, the western grain stabilization plan which will bring a new and unprecedented measure of strength and stability to the western grains industry, to individual producers, and to the western economy generally.

That is just a partial list of achievements but it will give the House an idea of the important and impressive advances which have assisted the western grains industry to rise to the unprecedented state of strength and health which it has enjoyed in recent years. Also important, indeed I would say crucial to this improved state of affairs for western producers, is the unparalleled ability, capacity, and good judgment of prairie farmers themselves as producers and marketers which places them in the ranks of the world's most resourceful and productive people. The bill now before us is another progressive step forward on two fronts for the Western grains industry. I commend it to the House for speedy final passage in the interests of prairie grain farmers.

Motion agreed to and bill read the third time and passed.

\* \* \*

#### BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** The hon. President of the Privy Council.

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** Five o'clock!

**Mr. Sharp:** We have completed the government business before the House today, but before asking Your Honour to call it five o'clock might I indicate the order of business for Monday? We shall call Bill C-61 for third reading. This will be followed by Bill C-83 and discussion will continue until the House can resume consideration of Bill C-84 which will be at the report stage when the committee reports on Monday evening.

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** There was some discussion earlier today about the possibility of the House passing a resolution in connection with the air traffic control and airline pilot situation. Has anything been done on that matter?

**Mr. Sharp:** I had some discussion with the Leader of the Official Opposition (Mr. Clark) and with the House Leader of that party, as well as with the Leader of the New Democratic Party (Mr. Broadbent). The minister concerned held discussions today with the air traffic controllers and these talks have now been adjourned.

#### *Business of the House*

There have been some very preliminary discussions here and it may be that on Monday we shall want to consider a resolution. However, as the Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) indicated during the question period, the terms of the resolution would of course be negotiated with the other parties before being presented to the House; so there will be no action today, though there may be on Monday.

**Mr. Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich):** If I understood the government House leader correctly he mentioned Bill C-61 which, as far as I know, had been left out of the package which was to be completed by June 30. I take it this is just a filler—that we shall just have a discussion on the subject and continue when we resume in the fall.

**Mr. Sharp:** When I announced the business the government insisted be completed before we adjourn, I did not include Bill C-61. However, this did not mean we would not want to discuss Bill C-61, and if the House is prepared to approve third reading, that would not be contrary to any undertaking I have given. But we are not insisting on the approval of Bill C-61 before we adjourn.

**Mr. Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich):** And that, I understand, is to be the first order of business on Monday. May I ask for how long we will be discussing that measure before we go on to Bill C-84? Is a certain period of time to be allocated?

**Mr. Sharp:** I would be prepared to devote the whole of Monday to Bill C-61. If the House were able to agree to approve it, we would be very pleased. But if the House decided not to do so, we would accept that situation. We would then proceed to Bill C-83. Also, if Bill C-61 is approved of some time on Monday we would turn to Bill C-83, but in any event Bill C-83 would be taken up until we go back to consideration of the report stage of Bill C-84.

**Mr. Stanfield:** My understanding was that at the time the House agreed to extend hours it was also agreed that only a very limited legislative package would be considered, for example, the bill relating to government expenditures was not included—Bill C-87.

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** Don't bring that back.

**Mr. Stanfield:** No, I don't want to do that. But my impression as to what was agreed to differs from that of the government House leader. I am subject to correction, but my impression was that when we agreed to extended hours part of that agreement was that a list of certain bills was to be considered, and that Bill C-61 was not one of those. I think there is something more involved in this than the government House leader simply saying that he never agreed to more than that the government would not insist on certain bills being considered before the House adjourned. My impression is that the government House leader agreed that only certain bills would be considered as part of the package of extended hours.

● (1630)

I am not accusing the government House leader of bad faith—I do not think he would be guilty of such a thing—but it seems to me that there should be some consultation