

Museum register, it was collected by George Barnston in Hudson's Bay Territory, the locality, "Albany River, St. Martin's Falls," being enclosed in brackets, but as the entry applies to a number of specimens received in the same lot, it is impossible to be sure of the locality of this particular specimen. The specimen had been placed with *H. argenteomaculatus*.

I also looked hurriedly over the drawers of North American *Colias* and saw a number of errors. *Interior* was in one drawer, while the name *Laurentina* was put, as originally described by Scudder, as a variety of *Philodice*, but the specimens under this name were two albino females of *Philodice*, from Philadelphia, a rather narrow-bordered *Philodice* from New Brunswick and one set under side up.

Two male specimens of *Colias*, which, according to the register, were taken in the Rocky Mountains by a collector employed by Lord Derby, about 1845 or 1847, and which, if I am not mistaken, stood in 1897 over a blank label, have now been labeled *Astræa*, Edwards, but are certainly not that variety. I may say that I have seen the type of *Astræa*, but do not consider it in the least entitled to a varietal name. It is a very ordinary form of *Christina*, and intergrades with the typical form. I noticed a number of other errors, some of which I pointed out to Mr. Heron, but of which I made no exact notes.

P. S.—Since writing the above I have received a letter from Sir George Hampson, in which he says :

"With regard to the specimens standing under *rutila*, they are exactly as Mr. Butler placed them, as I have not yet come to that part of the subject, and had not in any way studied them till I got your letter. *sauzalite* has the frontal prominence very distinct, it is a vertical flat plate, shaped like the letter D, the others have no frontal prominence. *rutila* has the postmedial line moderately bent outwards below costa, then oblique to vein 6, then inwardly oblique, whilst *Harrisii* has it strongly bent outwards below costa, then nearly evenly inwardly oblique to inner margin. We have the type and two other specimens of typical *rutila*, and the three types and two other specimens which I should put under *Harrisii*, but I am bound to confess that these last two specimens appear to be somewhat intermediate."

The two specimens regarded by Sir George as intermediate appeared to me to be merely *Rutila*.