BOND DEALERS.

BOND DEALERS.

EXCHANGE

securities which he holds, and correspondence in this connection. There are several especially advantageous opportunities for exchange at the present time which we would like to present to investors.

Æmilius Jarvis & Co.

Jarvis Building

BORROWING MONEY. SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The particulars given are in order, name, number school district, amount required, and correspondent :-

Pollux, No. 2261, \$800. A. Stirling, Pollux. Amelia, No. 2151, \$1,300. N. Hanlan, Cookville, Tolman, No. 2204, \$1,600, L. E. Lane, Tolman. Range View, No. 2110, \$800. A. J. Bell, Shepard. Chauvin, No. 2196, \$1,800. E. E. Webber, Chauvin. Gadsby, No. 2134, \$5,000. R. E. Bottomley, Gadsby. Rainy Valley No. 2254, \$600. F. L. Steed, Carlstadt. McDonald, No. 1808, \$350. C. Borman, Lac La Nonne. Allersville, No. 2202, \$1,600. D. Kennedy, Milk River. Golden Prairie, No. 2223, \$2,500. J. W. Smiley, Taber. Red Deer Lake, No. 218, \$1,000. A. Eckersley, Calgary, 1853. Box 1853.

Government Municipal Industrial Corporation

orrespondence Invited.

SECURITIES PORALIUN. Limited

Hon C. J. Doherty, K.C., M.P., President.

Rodolphe Forget, M.P., Vice President.

Geo. H. Gooderham, M.P.P., Vice President.

Head Office, 179 St James St. MONTREAL

saskatchewan.

Medina, No. 2631, \$2,000. T. J. Moore, Landis.
Glenhill, No. 2581, \$2,500. J. B.
Coventry, No. 213, \$1,600. E. L.
Haralowka, No. 2396, \$1,000. J. Megas, Rosthern.
Orolow, No. 2392, \$1,200. W. J. Golding, Petrofka.
Orange View, No. 2563, \$2,000. G. Cragg, Watrous.
Belgrave, No. 2587, \$1,000. E. C. Jennings, Melfort.
Riverhill, No. 2586, \$800. C. H. Wegener, Chellwood.
Broderick, No. 2548, \$5,000. J. E. Knipfel, St. Aldwyn.
MacKintosh Lake, No. 2610, \$1,000. D. Rodgers, St.

Gainsborough, No. 229, \$1,700. C. S. E. Morris, Gains-Bendict:

borough.

DOUBLE LIABILITY.

(Continued from page 1918).

doubted that on the tone and construction of the deed if there had been any profit on that part of the transaction, which was most improbable, if not impossible, the profit would not have inured to the benefit of the Bank of Mont-

Agree With The Ontario Courts.

there was no agreement on the part of the Ontario Bank to sell the good-will or bind itself (such as it was) to the Bank of Montreal, though, no doubt, the Bank of Montreal acquired an indirect benefit by taking then itself and fulfilling the obligations of the Ontario Bank to its customers, and taking over such of the premises of the Ontario Bank to its customers, as it chose to purchase."

On the whole, their Lordships agree with the courts of Ontario in thinking that the deed agreement of the 13th of October does not offend against the provisions of the Bank Act.

Double Liability Case at Montreal.

Another legal case holding a bank shareholder doubly liable was decided last week at Montreal, where a decision was handed down in the Court of Appeals case of A. H. Lapierre, representing the Burnet Estate vs. Tancrede Bienvenu, liquidator of La Banque St. Jean. This case was an Lapierre, representing the Burnet Estate venu, liquidator of La Banque St. Jean. This case was an appeal from a judgment rendered by Justice Monet at St. Johns, whereby the double liability of the plaintiff, who was Johns, whereby the definet bank was maintained. The dea shareholder in the defunct bank, was maintained. The decision was maintained in appeal. Lapierre alleged that there had been irregularity in the deposit made by the bank prior to starting business some thirty years ago. Plaintiff maintained that this decest of \$100.000 had been made after the tained that this deposit of \$100,000 had been made after the bank had started in business, and moreover, had taken the form of a note discounted by the bank itself. The position taken by the judges of appeal was that, even if there had been some irregularity in the making of the deposit in the first place the fact that the bank had been doing business for first place, the fact that the bank had been doing business for thirty years and was recognized as a bank, proved it was a legally existent institution. All shareholders were therefore to be held to the double liability.

Five offers were made by Toronto firms for the Kincardine, Ont., \$3,000, 4½ per cent. school debentures, awarded to the Dominion Securities Corporation.

Five offers were received for the \$5,000, 5 per cent. 20-year Thornbury, Ont., debentures, which were awarded to Messrs. C. H. Burgess & Company, Toronto.